

1 Psychometric Properties of the Persian Version of the 2 CRAVE Scale in three University Samples

3 Farhad Tanhaye Reshvanloo ¹
4 Hadi Samadieh ^{2*}
5 Matthew A. Stults-Kolehmainen ^{3, 4}

6
7
8 ¹ Department of Psychology, Shandiz Institute of Higher Education, Mashhad, Iran

9 ² Department of Psychology, University of Birjand, Birjand, Iran

10 ³ Division of Digestive Health, Yale New Haven Hospital, New Haven, CT, United States

11 ⁴ Department of Biobehavioral Sciences, Teachers College – Columbia University, New York, NY,
12 United States

13

14 * Please, send correspondence to Hadi Samadieh: h.samadieh@birjand.ac.ir

15

16 Number of words: 10,282

17 Number of Tables and Figures: 5 total; 5 tables, 0 figures

18 Number of Supplements: 0

19 **Co-Author Agreement:** We the authors agree to the sharing of this preprint on SportRxiv.

20 All authors have read and approved this version of the manuscript.

21 This article was last modified on September 18, 2023.

22 This work is a preprint (not yet under review).

23

24 **Please cite as:** Tanhaye Reshvanloo, F., Samadieh, H. & Stults-Kolehmainen, M. A. Psychometric
25 properties of the Persian version of the CRAVE scale in three university samples. SportRxiv.

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 **Abstract**

37 This study investigated the factor structure and validity of the Cravings for Rest and Volitional
38 Energy Expenditure Scale (CRAVE) in Iranian university students. Three studies were conducted
39 to examine the psychometric properties of the scale in two-time frames, "*Right now*" and "*Past*
40 *week*". In Study 1, we investigated the internal consistency and factor structure of two models of
41 the scale (10 versus 13 items) using a sample of 313 students. In Study 2, we further
42 investigated the confirmatory factor validity, construct reliability, and convergent validity of the
43 CRAVE using a new sample of 246 students. In Study 3, we explored the criterion validity in
44 another sample of 244 students who completed measures of the General Health Questionnaire
45 (GHQ), Body Mass Index (BMI), and Subjective Vitality Scale (SVS). The results indicated that the
46 CRAVE scale has a two-factor structure. Confirmatory factor analyses replicated the initial 2-
47 factor solution and had an excellent fit for the data. Cronbach's alpha coefficients were obtained
48 from 0.62 to 0.89. Desire to move (*MOVE*) was negatively correlated with physical dysfunction
49 and BMI. However, desire to rest (*REST*) was not associated significantly with these factors.
50 There was a positive relationship between vitality and *MOVE* and a negative relationship
51 between vitality and *REST*. Only *MOVE* assessed "Right now" had a positive and significant
52 relationship with age. There were no significant differences in *MOVE* and *REST* in both "Right
53 now" and "Past week" versions between women and men. Overall, the CRAVE scale had
54 acceptable validity and reliability; hence, it can be used among Persian-speaking young adults.

55

56

57 **Keywords:** urge for movement, desire, sedentary activity, physical activity, scale development,
58 motivation

59

60

61 Introduction

62 Insufficient physical activity (IPA) is associated with a wide range of diseases, including cancer,
 63 diabetes, stroke, and heart disease (Kyu et al., 2016). Despite the vital role of physical activity
 64 in physical and mental health, many people are far from the recommended amount of activity.
 65 According to the Global Burden of Diseases Study (GBD), from 1990 to 2017, the percentage of
 66 low physical activity attributable to disability-adjusted life years (DALY) increased globally by 1.5
 67 times and 2-fold in Iran, causing more than 1.2 million deaths across the world and 18,000
 68 deaths in Iran in 2017. Additionally, in 2017, low physical activity was the leading cause of more
 69 than 1.2 million deaths worldwide and 18,000 deaths in Iran (Institute for Health Metrics and
 70 Evaluation, 2018). Global evidence has revealed that Iran is among the countries with
 71 moderate to low physical activity. In 2011, a national surveillance study of risk factors for non-
 72 communicable diseases showed that about 40% of Iranian people do not have enough physical
 73 activity (Esteghamati et al., 2011). Encouraging physical activity and movement among
 74 university students is essential as it contributes to improved mental health and overall well-
 75 being. Longitudinal studies suggest that physical activity decreases significantly from
 76 adolescence to early adulthood (Corder et al., 2019).

77 During emerging adulthood, people experience significant life transitions from high school
 78 to higher education. This developmental stage is also crucial for forming long-term patterns of
 79 lifestyle behaviors related to obesity (Nelson et al., 2008). The results of a study by Mahdilo
 80 and colleagues in 2021, found that 68% of Iranian students are inactive. In addition, during the
 81 COVID-19 pandemic, the need for regular physical activity has become even more critical. By
 82 strengthening the immune system, physical activity reduces people's vulnerability to various
 83 infections (Gao et al., 2020; Nieman & Wentz, 2019) and is also associated with lower incidence
 84 of diabetes, cancer, osteoporosis, and cardiovascular diseases (Lippi & Sanchis-Gomar, 2020).
 85 In addition, most studies have shown that physical activity can improve mental health, which
 86 has declined since the COVID-19 pandemic began (Jenkins et al., 2021). For decades, efforts to
 87 identify factors influencing physical activity have mainly focused on cognitive dimensions
 88 (Williams & Bohlen, 2019). Recently, researchers have underscored the importance of
 89 motivational theories based on emotion. Indeed, empirical evidence indicates that one of the
 90 key factors in encouraging and maintaining physical activity is motivation (Sáez et al., 2021;
 91 Molanorouzi et al., 2015).

92 Motivational States for Physical Activity

93 The current approaches explaining motivation, both in conceptualizing and measuring physical
 94 activity determinants, have mainly focused on the trait and stable aspects of motivation (Lev
 95 Arey et al., 2022; Lock et al., 2018). However, motivation for physical activity and sedentary
 96 (e.g., desires, wants, urges, and cravings) changes from moment to moment (Stults-
 97 Kolehmainen et al., 2020; Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2023). These affectively-charged
 98 motivational states (which also include negative constructs, such as fear) have been proposed
 99 as proximal antecedents of physical activity (Do et al., 2022). Affectively-charged motivation for
 100 physical activity refers to motivational states based on past affective responses to physically
 101 active experiences, resulting in changes to hedonic motivation (e.g., desire, dread, craving),
 102 intrinsic motivation, and avoidance motivation (Stevens et al., 2020; Stults-Kolehmainen et al.,
 103 2020).

104 During the last few years, Stults-Kolehmainen and his colleagues proposed the idea of
 105 motivational states for movement and sedentary behavior to incorporate these affective

106 conceptualizations with behavioral models. They presented the WANT model (Wants and
107 Aversions for Neuromuscular Tasks) to elucidate the interaction of desires and urges for
108 movement and sedentarism (Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2020; Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2023).
109 Affective experiences (i.e., pleasure/displeasure and arousal), physical activity, eating behaviors
110 and sleep (Budnick et al., 2023), recent activities (Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2021), state anxiety
111 (Filgueiras et al., 2022), and daily life stress (Stults-Kolehmainen & Sinha, 2014) are influential
112 factors on motivational states. Moreover, motivational states play a determining role in
113 predicting variables, including intentions to exercise (Budnick et al., 2023), movement and
114 physical activity (Levitin et al., 2018), and perceived physical fatigue and energy (Stults-
115 Kolehmainen et al., 2021).

116 Despite extensive studies in exercise motivation, few attempts have been made to measure
117 motivational states for movement and sedentary behavior (Stevens et al., 2020). Several
118 instruments have been developed to measure general exercise motivation or other similar
119 constructs, including motivation for physical activity and sport motivation. However, there are
120 several limitations to previous tools that need to be considered. First, some questionnaires only
121 measure a limited range of motivational states. For instance, in a study to examine within-
122 subject effects of pre-exercise affectively-charged motivation on subsequent affective response
123 during physical activity, only one item was used to measure affectively-charged motivation (Do
124 et al., 2022). Second, in other measurements, such as state motivation for specific exercise
125 tasks, exercise in general and physical activity, various unvalidated one- to three-item tools have
126 been used, as discussed by Stults-Kolehmainen and his colleagues (2021). Third, most scales,
127 have mainly measured stable aspects of motivation for physical activity, instead of state
128 motivation, per se (Ryan & Connell, 1989). Furthermore, the Intention to be Physically Active
129 Questionnaire has not considered the transitory and temporal features of motivational states
130 (Sánchez-Herrera et al., 2022).

131 By considering these critical points, Stults-Kolehmainen et al. (2021) developed the Cravings
132 for Rest and Volitional Energy Expenditure (CRAVE) scale to measure motivation states for
133 physical activity and sedentarism that not only integrates affective and behavioral aspects of
134 motivation into a unified assessment but also complements the existing measures and
135 addresses the limitations mentioned above. This scale is based on the WANT model and
136 includes 20 items scored for two-time frames (“*at this very moment/Right now*” [NOW] and
137 “*over the past week*” [PAST WEEK]), and each version contains two sub-scales (*MOVE* and *REST*).
138 This questionnaire is rated on a 11-point Likert Scale ranging from 0 (not at all) to 10 (more than
139 ever). Stults-Kolehmainen et al. (2021) conducted five studies to investigate the validity and
140 reliability of the motivation states for physical activity and sedentary behaviors. In the first study,
141 the main goal was to construct and validate a scale for affectively-charged motivation states
142 (ACMS) and to examine some assumptions of the WANT model. The results of the exploratory
143 structural equation model showed a two-factor structure consisting of *MOVE* and *REST*. The
144 results of the confirmatory factor analysis revealed a good fit of the hypothesized model to the
145 data. The overall reliability of the scale, assessed with McDonald's Omega index, was 0.97. The
146 primary purpose of the second study was to determine model fit in a new sample and explore
147 reliability and discriminate validity. The results confirmed the factorial structure of the scale.
148 The convergent validity of the scale was confirmed. Discriminate validity was also checked by

149 calculating the correlation between the items related to *MOVE* and *REST*, which indicated the
 150 independence of the two factors and, as a result, no problem for this facet of validity. In the
 151 third study, the state-like characteristics of the desire to move and be sedentary were
 152 confirmed. The fourth study aimed to investigate the changes in the desire to move and rest
 153 after a bout of maximal exercise. The findings showed that the desire to move and be sedentary
 154 changes in the expected directions with a maximal exercise stimulus.

155 **Physical function, Vitality, and Body Mass Index**

156 As previously reported, motivational states are relevant for physical and psychological health
 157 (Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2022; San Roman-Mata, et al., 2020). Motivation states to move and
 158 be sedentary are clearly related to a whole host of physical hyperkinetic maladies, such as
 159 Restless Legs Syndrome, akathisia, exercise dependence, muscle dysmorphia, tics/Tourette's,
 160 and hyperactivity. They are also related to various hypokinetic disorders, such as chronic fatigue
 161 syndrome, major depression, and thyroid problems. Altogether these have been called the
 162 "movement-urge dysfunction disorders" (MUDD) (Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2022). It is also
 163 possible that motivation states are related to a variety of other physical and psychological health
 164 indicators as well (Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2023). Recently, Filgueiras and colleagues (2023)
 165 reported that motivation states are related to exercise behavior and state anxiety, which seems
 166 to validate this notion. Motivation states for physical activity declined over a 2-year period for
 167 127 people in New England (Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2021), but BMI has been inconsistently
 168 related to motivation states. However, data is largely lacking and limited to narrow populations.

169 Other studies have demonstrated that trait/stable exercise motivation is tightly connected
 170 to health outcomes (Guérin et al., 2013; Li et al., 2022; Neace et al., 2022). The association
 171 between exercise motivation and psychological resources has also been examined in adult and
 172 university student samples. In a study of Swedish adults, higher levels of physical activity were
 173 related to higher mastery and vitality (Johansson et al., 2021). In another study, the results
 174 indicated that exercise self-efficacy and exercise behavior could be significantly predicted by
 175 exercise motivation among college students (Zhao et al., 2023). According to Stults-
 176 Kolehmainen et al. (2021), people with less body weight might be more motivated to participate
 177 in sports activities and thus have a greater desire for movement and physical activity.

178 **The Current Studies**

179 The new CRAVE tool has good psychometric properties as assessed in three large groups of
 180 American and Brazilian populations (18 to 82 years old) (Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2020; Stults-
 181 Kolehmainen et al., 2021; Filgueiras et al., 2023). For instance, this scale has high internal
 182 consistency, test-retest reliability, and convergent and divergent validity. An important limitation
 183 of some research has been not using the "Past week" version. Filgueiras and colleagues (2023)
 184 also sought to create single-item versions, which varied by country, indicating possible cultural
 185 differences in conceptualizing motivational states for physical activity (Filgueiras et al., 2023).
 186 However, among the many factors influencing motivation for physical activity, cultural
 187 components have been less studied (Gurley et al., 2022).

188 These limited studies highlight that there are major differences between collectivist cultures,
 189 including Asian countries, and individualistic societies (North America and Western Europe) in

190 terms of desire and motivation for physical and sedentary activities. In individualistic societies,
 191 self-reliance and independent self-construal play a significant role in motivation. On the
 192 contrary, in collectivist countries, including Iran, group goals and commonalities and the
 193 importance of others' evaluations play a crucial role (Kagitcibasi, 2005). Nevertheless, the
 194 findings of a systematic review showed no definite and stable results regarding which
 195 components of physical activity motivation are influenced by culture and which characteristics
 196 are universal (Shen et al., 2022). Therefore, the main goal of the present study is to investigate
 197 the factor structure of the CRAVE scale in a sample of Iranian students. In order to strengthen
 198 the methodological foundations, different methods of validity and reliability were used. In
 199 addition, inconsistent results regarding the correlates of the desire to move and be sedentary
 200 have been reported in previous studies. For example, some studies reported a negative and
 201 significant relationship between body mass index (BMI) and the desire to be physically active
 202 (Filgueiras et al., 2023; Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2021; Wu & He, 2022), and some reported no
 203 relationship between the desire to be active and BMI (Filgueiras et al., 2022).

204 Consequently, another objective of this research was to investigate potential psychological
 205 and physical correlates of the desire for physical activity and sedentarism. The CRAVE scale has
 206 desirable psychometric properties as well as strong theoretical foundations. However,
 207 conducting further cultural adaptations and examining the corresponding psychometric
 208 properties of this scale is necessary. A rapid review of the research literature in Iran showed
 209 that the CRAVE scale has not been validated yet in Persian. Therefore, the main purposes of the
 210 current research are to (1) translate and adapt the scale for Persian, and (2) investigate the
 211 factor structure and validity of the adapted CRAVE scale in Iranian students.

212

213

Study 1

214 The original CRAVE (Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2021) has 13 items, but two scoring schemes,
 215 one that includes all 13 items and the final version that only scores 10 items (with three
 216 unscored fillers). In this study, internal consistency and exploratory factor validity of both 10-
 217 and 13-item scoring schemes of the CRAVE were compared. Also, the two time frame versions,
 218 for *MOVE* and *REST* "RIGHT NOW" and "over the PAST WEEK" were compared. First, the internal
 219 consistency between items was checked, and then, using exploratory factor analysis, the
 220 factorial structure of the CRAVE was evaluated, and results for each version were compared. In
 221 addition, reliability and criterion validity were examined in regards to age and gender.

222 Method

223 **Participants.** In Study 1, 313 students of the Ferdowsi University of Mashhad were selected by
 224 convenience sampling. Demographic indicators are provided in Table 1. The participants were
 225 between the ages of 18 and 43. The mean age of the participants was 26.12 years (SD=6.28),
 226 and most of them were women (71.2%, n=223); 67.7% (n=212) were single; 70.3% (n=220)
 227 were unemployed, and 43.1% (n=135) were undergraduate students.

228 **Procedure.** The English version of the CRAVE scale was translated into Persian by a member of
 229 the psychology faculty. Back translation into English conducted by a faculty member of the
 230 English language teaching department. Finally, the two translations were compared, and the
 231 necessary corrections were made.

232 Data collection was done online in Google Forms, and the link was shared with professors
 233 and administrators of student groups on the Telegram social network. In the electronic forms,
 234 explanations were given to the participants about the objectives of the project, while assuring
 235 them about the confidentiality of the information, and voluntary participation in the research
 236 was emphasized.

237 **Measures.** *CRAVE scale:* Levels of motivation states to move and be sedentary were self-
 238 recorded and submitted by participants using the translated Cravings for Rest and Volitional
 239 Energy Expenditure (CRAVE) Scale (Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2021), a 13-item questionnaire
 240 consisting of statements regarding physical activity and sedentary behaviors attached to 11-
 241 point Likert items. In the original validated scoring, a subset of five items (1, 2, 6, 9, and 13) are
 242 scored in regard to physical activity, e.g.: “At this very moment, I want/desire to expend some
 243 energy”. Another subset of five items (3, 4, 7, 8, and 10) are scored in regard to being
 244 sedentary, e.g.: “At this very moment, I want/desire to be motionless”. The last three items (5,
 245 11, and 12) are filler items not scored or used for the analysis. For each item, a participant
 246 would assign a number from zero to ten, showing their agreement with the statement at the
 247 moment of taking the survey (RIGHT NOW). Participants also completed the “in the past week”
 248 or PAST WEEK version, which retrospectively assessed motivation states for the week before
 249 the study. These scales have excellent psychometric properties, as assessed over a series of
 250 nine studies (Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2021; 2022; Filgueiras et al., 2023).

251 **Data Analysis.** All statistical analyses were completed with SPSS (version 25.0; IBM SPSS,
 252 Chicago, IL). In order to check the internal consistency, the correlation coefficient between the
 253 items were checked. Item-total correlations were also checked. Then, using the Fisher r-to-z
 254 transformation, the difference in correlation coefficients was compared. Principal component
 255 analysis was used to check factor validity. A minimum factor loading of 0.40 was the cut-off
 256 considered for each item. Identification of the factor structure was guided by Kaiser’s (i.e.,
 257 eigenvalues greater than one) and Cattell’s (i.e., the elbow rule) criteria, factor loadings, and

258 **Table 1 Demographics**

Demographic characteristic	Study 1 sample, (n=313)		Study 2 sample, (n=346)		Study 3 sample, (n=244)	
	Measure	%	Measure	%	Measure	%
Mean age in years (SD)	26.12(6.28)		25.25(5.69)		24.41(6.30)	
Gender						
Female	223	71.2	236	68.2	177	72.5
Male	90	28.8	110	31.8	67	27.5
Marriage Status						
single	212	67.7	234	67.6	164	67.2
Married	101	32.3	112	32.4	80	32.8
Job Status						
Unemployed	220	70.3	231	66.8	174	71.3
Employee	93	29.7	115	33.2	70	28.7
Year in University						
Bachelor	135	43.1	162	46.8	101	41.4
Master	140	44.7	146	42.2	118	48.4
PhD	38	12.1	38	11.0	25	10.2

259 the interpretability of factor solutions. Cronbach's alpha (α) and Spearman-Brown split-half (r_{kk})
 260 were used to check reliability. The correlations between *MOVE* and *REST* were also examined
 261 in 10- and 13-item scoring schemes and also with age, and the coefficients were compared
 262 with the Fisher r-to-z transformation. Mann-Whitney Tests were used to investigate gender

263 differences since the distribution of the variables was not as expected, and the sample sizes of
264 groups were very different (female=223 vs. male=90).

265 Results

266 In examining the internal consistency, the intercorrelation between items and the total score
267 was checked. The results showed that the correlations (r) between the items in RIGHT NOW
268 and PAST WEEK versions were greater than -0.36 and -0.24, respectively. Table 2 also shows
269 that the correlations of the items with the total score in the 13-item scoring varies from 0.65
270 to 0.92 for the RIGHT NOW version and from 0.74 to 0.93 for the PAST WEEK version. In the
271 10-item scoring, these coefficients were 0.62 to 0.89 for the RIGHT NOW version and from 0.69
272 to 0.89 for the PAST WEEK version. Fisher's test showed that the differences between the
273 correlation coefficients of the items with the total score in both versions of the CRAVE were
274 not significant ($P>.05$).

275 In the exploratory factor analysis, Kaiser, Meyer, Olkin (KMO) and the Bartlett Sphericity test
276 were calculated. The results showed that with the coefficient of $KMO>.88$ and the significance
277 of the Bartlett Sphericity test, there are appropriate conditions for a factor analysis ($P<.01$).
278 Principal component analysis in both the RIGHT NOW and PAST WEEK versions of the 13- and
279 10-item scoring schemes showed two factors (Eigen value >1.00). A Scree Plot also supported
280 these structures. The cumulative explained variance for the RIGHT NOW version in the 13-item
281 scoring was 77.28%, and in the 10-item scoring it was 77.48%. In the PAST WEEK version, the
282 explained variance of the 13-item scoring was 78.39%, and in the 10-item scoring, it was
283 77.48%. Results in Table 2 show that all items have a factor load greater than 0.72. In the 13-
284 item scoring, item 5 in the *MOVE* subscale and items 11 and 12 in the *REST* subscale had a
285 higher factor load.

286 Cronbach's alpha (α) coefficients varied from .93 to .96 in 13-item scoring and from .92 to
287 .94 in 10-item scoring. Spearman-Brown split-half coefficients varied from .92 to .96 in 13-item
288 scoring and from .91 to .94 in 10-item scoring (Table 4). Table 4 shows that there is a negative
289 and significant correlation between *MOVE* and *REST* subscale scores for RIGHT NOW and PAST
290 WEEK versions in all 13- and 10-item scorings ($P<.01$). The correlation coefficients varied from
291 $r=0.47$ to $r=0.72$. Fisher's test showed that there is no significant difference between versions
292 ($P>.05$). Age has no significant relationship with the variables ($P>.05$). A Mann-Whitney Test
293 also showed that there is a significant difference between men and women in all versions
294 (RIGHT NOW and PAST WEEK) only for *MOVE* ($P<.01$), whereas women have a higher average
295 than men.

296

297 Table 2 The CRAVE Scale Items, Internal Consistency and Exploratory Factor Loadings for Both Versions (Study 1,
298 n=313)

I want/desired to....	RIGHT NOW							PAST WEEK						
	13 Item scoring			10 Item scoring				13 Item scoring			10 Item scoring			
	r	Loadings		r	Loadings			r	Loadings		r	Loadings		
		MOVE	REST		MOVE	REST	z		MOVE	REST		z		
1. . move my body	.87	.78	-.19	.89	.86	-.11	-1.11	.85	.92	.02	.87	.93	-.02	-.96
2. . be physically active	.83	.72	-.24	.85	.78	-.18	-.85	.85	.90	-.01	.86	.90	-.05	-.46
3. . do nothing active	.88	-.13	.83	.86	-.19	.79	1.03	.79	-.13	.78	.80	-.10	.82	-.34
4. . just sit down	.74	.01	.82	.75	-.03	.83	-.28	.85	-.14	.83	.82	-.12	.83	1.24
5. . burn some calories ^f	.79	.96	.13	-	-	-	-	.77	.79	-.09	-	-	-	-
6. . expend some	.90	.98	.06	.88	.99	.10	1.20	.76	.81	-.05	.69	.76	-.06	1.85
7. . be still	.84	-.16	.78	.82	-.19	.75	.80	.86	.13	.96	.84	.13	.96	.90
8. . be a couch potato	.78	.10	.91	.76	.09	.92	.61	.84	.01	.89	.84	.03	.92	.01
9. . exert my muscles	.84	.78	-.15	.82	.79	-.13	.80	.81	.90	.08	.81	.91	.07	.01
10. . be motionless	.80	.08	.91	.76	.06	.90	1.27	.91	-.04	.92	.89	-.03	.92	1.31
11. . lay down ^f	.85	-.06	.85	-	-	-	-	.93	-.05	.93	-	-	-	-
12. . rest my body ^f	.92	-.15	.84	-	-	-	-	.85	.09	.94	-	-	-	-
13. . move around	.65	.75	-.01	.62	.80	.07	.63	.74	.81	-.01	.75	.84	.03	.28

299 **Note.** Loading= Exploratory Factor Loadings; f= fillers; r= item-total correlations; z= the Fisher r-to-z transformation; All of z coefficients are
300 non-significant; Bolded factor loadings denote factor assignment.

301 **Discussion**

302 Study 1 found that the internal consistency of items and the correlation of items with the total
303 score for both RIGHT NOW and PAST WEEK versions are at an acceptable level, and the
304 differences between the two scoring schemes (13- vs. 10-items) are not significant. The
305 exploratory factor analysis also showed that the explained variance of the 13- and 10-item
306 versions is not different from each other, and there are two factors (*MOVE* and *REST*) in both
307 versions. These two factors in the RIGHT NOW and PAST WEEK versions had the same structure
308 as the original version. In the 13-item version, 1-2-5-6-9-13 items belong to the *MOVE*, and 3-
309 4-7-8-10-11-12 items belong to the *REST*. The reliability of the subscales was acceptable in all
310 versions, and the *MOVE* and *REST* subscales had significant correlations.

311 Based on this, it can conclude that both of the 13- and 10-item versions have favorable
312 factorial validity, and the 10-item version with fewer items and equal items in each subscale,
313 like the 13-item version, has favorable exploratory factorial validity and reliability.
314 Consequently, it seems best to maintain the original scoring scheme (10 items scored, 5 for
315 *MOVE* and 5 for *REST*), where subscale scores range from 0-50. Other results showed that age
316 has no significant relationship with the variables, and only *MOVE* is significantly different
317 between women and men, whereas women have a higher average than men.

318 **Study 2**

319 In Study 2, the confirmatory factor validity of both the 13- and 10-item scoring schemes of the
320 CRAVE scale were compared. First, using confirmatory factor analysis, the factor structure was
321 evaluated. In addition, construct reliability and convergent validity were checked. Reliability,
322 and criterion validity for age and gender were also re-examined.

323 **Method**

324 **Participants.** In this study, 346 students of the Ferdowsi University of Mashhad were selected
325 by convenience sampling. Demographic indicators are given in Table 1. The participants were
326 between the ages of 18 and 43. The mean age of the participants was 25.25 years (SD=5.69),

327 and most of them were women (68.2%, n=236); 67.6% (n=234) were single; 66.8% (n=231)
 328 were unemployed, and 46.8% (n=162) were undergraduate students.

329 **Procedure.** Data collection was done online with Google forms, and the link was shared with
 330 professors and administrators of student groups on the Telegram social network. In the
 331 electronic forms, explanations were given to the participants about the objectives of the
 332 project, while assuring them about the confidentiality of the information, and voluntary
 333 participation in the was research emphasized. The students participating in this study were
 334 different from the previous study.

335 **Measures.** *CRAVE scale:* The adapted Cravings for Rest and Volitional Energy Expenditure
 336 (*CRAVE*) Scale was utilized – both *RIGHT NOW* and *PAST WEEK* with both 13- and 10-item
 337 scoring schemes. In the first study, exploratory factor validity and favorable reliability
 338 coefficients obtained for this scale.

339 **Data Analysis.** All statistical analyses were done with AMOS (version 24.0; IBM SPSS, Wexford,
 340 PA). Confirmatory factor analysis was performed using the Maximum Likelihood method.
 341 Traditional fit indices guided evaluations of model fit (Hu & Bentler, 1999). Construct reliability
 342 and convergent validity were investigated by calculating composite reliability (CR) and average
 343 variance extracted (AVE) for the factors. In the investigation of construct reliability, if the values
 344 of $CR > .70$ and $AVE > .50$ and the relationship $CR > AVE$ are established, convergent validity has
 345 been achieved (Hair et al., 2017).

346 Cronbach's alpha (α) and Spearman-Brown split-half (r_{kk}) were used to check reliability. The
 347 correlation between *MOVE* and *REST* examined in scoring schemes of 13- and 10-items with
 348 each other and also with age, and the coefficients compared with the Fisher r-to-z
 349 transformation. A Mann-Whitney Test was used to investigate gender differences since the
 350 distribution of the variables was not as expected, and the sample size within the groups were
 351 very different (female=236 vs. male=110).

352 Results

353 Table 3 shows that all factor loadings are greater than 0.60 and significant ($P < 0.01$). Fit indices
 354 for the confirmatory factor analysis indicated that the data fit the two-factor models
 355 adequately (comparative fit index $> .97$, Tucker–Lewis index $> .96$, and root mean square error
 356 of approximation $< .07$). The fit indices in different models were not significantly different from
 357 each other. The correlation coefficients between factors varied from $-.57$ to $-.74$ ($P < .01$). In the
 358 construct reliability survey, the composite reliability (CR) coefficients varied from $.55$ to $.71$,
 359 and the average variance extracted (AVE) coefficients varied from $.86$ to $.93$. For all factors, the
 360 $CR > AVE$ relationship was established and convergent validity achieved. The construct reliability
 361 indices were not significantly different across models.

362 Cronbach's alpha (α) coefficients varied from $.90$ to $.92$ in 13-item versions and from $.87$ to
 363 $.91$ in 10-item versions. Spearman-Brown split-half coefficients varied from $.87$ to $.94$ in 13-
 364 item versions and from $.84$ to $.92$ in 10-item versions (Table 4). Table 4 shows that there are
 365 negative and significant relationships between *MOVE* and *REST* in *RIGHT NOW* and *PAST WEEK*
 366 versions for all 13- and 10-item scoring schemes ($P < .01$). These correlation coefficients varied
 367 from $r = 0.51$ to $r = 0.63$. A Fisher's test showed that there is no significant difference between
 368 versions ($P > .05$).

369 Furthermore, age has no significant relationship with motivation states variables ($P > .05$).
 370 Mann-Whitney Tests also showed that there is a significant difference between men and

371 women only in the *MOVE* subscale in the PAST WEEK version with the 13-item scoring ($P < .05$),
 372 whereas women have a higher mean than men.

373 **Table 3 The CRAVE Scale Items, and Confirmatory Factor Loadings (Study 2, n=346)**

I want/desired to....	RIGHT NOW				PAST WEEK			
	13 Item scoring		10 Item scoring		13 Item scoring		10 Item scoring	
	<i>MOVE</i>	<i>REST</i>	<i>MOVE</i>	<i>REST</i>	<i>MOVE</i>	<i>REST</i>	<i>MOVE</i>	<i>REST</i>
1. ... move my body	.93		.92		.87		.87	
2. ... be physically active	.97		.97		.70		.70	
5. ... burn some calories ^f	.77		-		.69		-	
6. ... expend some energy	.62		.61		.82		.72	
9. ... exert my muscles	.72		.72		.75		.77	
13. ... move around	.72		.72		.81		.78	
3. ... do nothing active		.85		.78		.61		.61
4. ... just sit down		.75		.61		.87		.87
7. ... be still		.71		.71		.93		.93
8. ... be a couch potato		.62		.76		.80		.80
10. ... be motionless		.80		.86		.96		.96
11. ... lay down ^f		.75		-		.60		-
12. ... rest my body ^f		.67		-		.82		-
Fit indices								
CFI		.98		.98		.97		.99
TLI		.97		.97		.96		.98
RMSEA		.06		.07		.07		.06
Construct reliability								
CR	.62	.55	.64	.56	.60	.66	.59	.71
AVE	.91	.89	.90	.86	.90	.93	.88	.92
Correlation between factors		-.73**		-.74**		-.57**		-.58**

374 **Note.** f= fillers; CFI= Comparative fit index; TLI= Tucker–Lewis index; RMSEA= Root Mean Square Error of Approximation; CR= Composite
 375 reliability; AVE=Average variance extracted; All of factor loadings are significant at ** $p < 0.01$.

376 Discussion

377 A confirmatory factor analysis showed that the two-factor structure in the 13- and 10-item
 378 scorings has an acceptable fit, and in both versions the items have acceptable factor loadings
 379 on the *MOVE* and *REST* subscales. The factors had favorable construct reliability and
 380 convergent validity. The reliability of the subscales in all versions was acceptable, and *MOVE*
 381 and *REST* subscales had a significant and negative correlation.

382 Based on this, it can be concluded that both the 13- and 10-item scoring schemes have
 383 favorable factorial validity, and the 10-item scoring with fewer items and equal items in each
 384 subscale, like the 13-item scoring, has favorable confirmatory factorial validity and reliability.
 385 As the original validation study (Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2021) concluded that the 10-item
 386 scoring was best, this favors this scoring. Other results showed that age has no significant
 387 relationship with the variables, only in the *MOVE* subscale in the PAST WEEK version (13-item
 388 scoring), there is a significant difference between men and women, whereas women have a
 389 higher mean than men.

390 Study 3

391 This study aimed to investigate the convergent and divergent validity of both the 13- and 10-
 392 item scoring schemes of the adapted CRAVE scale. First, it was hypothesized that *MOVE* and
 393 *REST* are both related to body mass index (BMI). Specifically, higher scores for *MOVE* were

394 thought to be associated with lower BMI, whereas higher scores in *REST* were thought to be
 395 associated with higher BMI. In addition, *MOVE* was hypothesized to be negatively associated
 396 with physical dysfunction scores, but *REST* was hypothesized to be associated with greater
 397 perceived physical dysfunction. We also hypothesize that *MOVE* and *REST* are positively and
 398 negatively related to subjective vitality. Finally, to obtain more psychometric information about
 399 the CRAVE scale, reliability, and criterion validity about age and gender were investigated.

400 **Method**

401 **Participants.** In this study, 244 students of the Ferdowsi University of Mashhad were selected
 402 by convenience sampling. Demographic indicators are given in Table 1. The participants were
 403 between the ages of 18 and 44. The mean age of the participants was 26.41 years (SD=6.30),
 404 and most of them were women (72.5%, n=177); 67.2% (n=164) were single; 71.3% (n=174)
 405 were unemployed, and 48.8% (n=118) were undergraduate students.

406 **Procedure.** The data collection was done online with Google Forms₂ and the link was shared
 407 with professors and administrators of student groups on the Telegram social network. In the
 408 electronic forms, explanations were given to the participants about the objectives of the
 409 project, while assuring them about the confidentiality of the information, and voluntary
 410 participation in the research emphasized. The students participating in this study were different
 411 from the previous two studies.

412 **Measures.** *CRAVE scale:* The adapted Cravings for Rest and Volitional Energy Expenditure
 413 (CRAVE) Scale was again utilized. In the first study, exploratory factor validity and the second
 414 study, confirmatory factor validity and acceptable reliability were obtained for this scale.

415 *Body mass index (BMI):* BMI was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared.

416 *Physical dysfunction:* The General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-28, Goldberg, & Hillier, 1979)
 417 adapted into Persian by Taghavi (2008) was used to measure physical dysfunction. The GHQ-
 418 28 requests participants to indicate how their health in general has been over the past few
 419 weeks, using behavioral items with a 4-point scale indicating the following frequencies of
 420 experience: zero (not at all), 1 (no more than usual), 2 (rather more than usual), and 3 (much
 421 more than usual). In the scientific literature, reliability of Cronbach's $\alpha = .93$ (Vergara-Moragues
 422 & González-Saiz, 2020) and $\alpha = .85$ (Taghavi, 2008) has been reported. Cronbach's alpha (α) in
 423 this study was 0.80.

424 *Subjective vitality:* The Subjective Vitality Scale (SVS: Ryan & Frederick, 1997) adapted into
 425 Persian by Tanhaye Reshvanloo et al., (2019) is a 6-item self-report instrument that is designed

426 Table 4 The CRAVE Reliability, Inter correlations and Construct Validity

Studies	Cronbach's alpha		Spearman-Brown split-half		inter-correlation			Correlation with Age			Gender differences Mean Rank					
	13.V.	10.V.	13.V.	10.V.	13.V.	10.V.	z	13.V.	10.V.	z	13.V.		10.V.			
											Female	Male	Z	Female	Male	Z
Study 1 (n=313)																
RIGHT NOW																
MOVE	.94	.93	.92	.91				.08	.04	.50	165.87	135.03	-2.33**	166.18	134.25	-2.83**
REST	.95	.92	.93	.91	-.71**	-.72**	.25	.03	.06	-.37	155.46	159.83	-.35	155.78	160.01	-.38
PAST WEEK																
MOVE	.93	.92	.93	.92				-.01	-.02	-.12	164.03	139.57	-2.17*	163.50	140.89	-2.02*
REST	.96	.94	.96	.94	-.48**	-.47**	-.16	.06	.08	-.25	159.51	150.78	-.77	157.38	156.06	-.12
Study 2 (n=346)																
RIGHT NOW,																
MOVE	.91	.89	.92	.90				-.01	-.02	-.13	177.94	163.98	-1.21	177.34	165.27	-1.05
REST	.90	.87	.87	.84	-.61**	-.63**	-.43	.10	.09	.13	176.00	168.13	-.68	174.21	171.97	-.19
PAST WEEK																
MOVE	.90	.88	.91	.90				-.02	-.04	-.26	182.86	153.41	-2.55*	180.19	159.15	-1.82
REST	.92	.91	.94	.92	-.51**	-.53**	-.36	.06	.07	-.13	175.71	168.75	-.60	173.54	173.41	-.01
Study 3 (n=244)																
RIGHT NOW																
MOVE	.94	.92	.95	.92				.02	.01	.11	125.76	113.88	-1.18	125.93	113.45	-1.23
REST	.95	.91	.93	.91	-.72**	-.70**	.44	.02	.01	.11	127.33	109.74	-1.74	127.58	109.07	-1.83
PAST WEEK																
MOVE	.94	.93	.93	.90				-.10	-.09	.11	128.16	107.56	-2.04	127.19	110.12	-1.69
REST	.94	.91	.95	.92	-.47**	-.46**	.14	.05	.09	-.44	127.88	108.29	-1.94	125.62	114.26	-1.13

427 Note. 13.V.=13 item scoring; 10. V.= 10 item scoring; z= the Fisher r-to-z transformation; All of z coefficients are non-significant; Z= Mann-Whitney Test; **p<.01; *p<.05

428 to assess feelings of energy and vitality. There are two versions (i.e., state and individual
 429 differences levels), and the state-version measure was used in the present study. Respondents
 430 were asked to indicate the degree to which the statement of each item was true for them “right
 431 now” on a 7- point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (not at all true) to 7 (very true). Cronbach’s
 432 $\alpha = .92$ (Ryan & Frederick, 1997) and $\alpha = .93$ (Tanhaye Reshvanloo et al., 2019) has been
 433 reported. Cronbach's alpha (α) in this study was 0.94.

434 **Data Analysis.** All statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS (version 25.0; IBM SPSS,
 435 Chicago, IL). The correlation coefficients between *MOVE* and *REST* for 13- and 10-item scoring
 436 schemes with BMI, physical dysfunction, and subjective vitality were investigated. Multiple
 437 regression was used for prediction. Cronbach's alpha (α) and Spearman-Brown split-half (r_{kk})
 438 were used to check reliability. The correlations between *MOVE* and *REST* were examined for
 439 13- and 10-item scoring schemes (and also with age), and the coefficients were compared with
 440 the Fisher r-to-z transformation. Mann-Whitney Tests were used to investigate gender
 441 differences since the distribution of the variables was not as expected, and the sample sizes
 442 within groups were very different (female=177 vs. male=67).

443 Results

444 Table 5 shows that *MOVE* has a negative relationship with BMI ($r = -.15$ to $r = -.26$) and physical
 445 dysfunction ($r = -.20$ to $r = -.31$) in all versions, and its relationship with subjective vitality ($r = .28$
 446 to $r = .40$) is positive. *REST* in all versions has a positive relationship with BMI ($r = .33$ to $r = .38$),
 447 and physical dysfunction ($r = .19$ to $r = .30$), and its relationship with subjective vitality is negative
 448 ($r = -.21$ to $r = -.33$). Fisher's test showed that there is no significant difference between versions
 449 ($P > .05$).

450 Regression analysis also showed that only *REST* for the PAST WEEK version (both 13- and 10-
 451 item scoring) did not predict BMI ($P > .05$). *MOVE* and *REST* for the RIGHT NOW version (both
 452 13- and 10-item scorings, did not predict physical dysfunction ($P > .05$). Other results showed
 453 that *REST* for PAST WEEK (both 13- and 10-item scoring) did not predict subjective vitality
 454 ($P > .05$). *MOVE* for the RIGHT NOW version (10-item scoring) did not predict subjective vitality
 455 either ($P > .05$). Fisher's test showed that there is no significant difference between 13- and 10-
 456 item scoring schemes as determined by β and R ($P > .05$).

457 Cronbach's alpha (α) coefficients varied from .94 to .95 in 13-item scoring and from .91 to
 458 .93 in 10-item scoring. Spearman-Brown split-half coefficients varied from .93 to .95 in 13-item
 459 scoring and from .90 to .92 in 10-item scoring (Table 4). Table 4 shows that there is a negative
 460 and significant relationship between *MOVE* and *REST* for RIGHT NOW and PAST WEEK versions
 461 for all 13- and 10-item scoring ($P < .01$). The correlation coefficients varied from $r = -.46$ to $r = -.72$.
 462 Fisher's test showed that there is no significant difference between versions ($P > .05$).
 463 Furthermore, it was found that age has no significant relationship with the motivation states
 464 variables ($P > .05$). A Mann-Whitney Test also showed that there is no significant difference
 465 between men and women for any of the variables ($P > .05$).

466 Discussion

467 Study 3 found that *MOVE* has a small and negative relationship with BMI and physical
 468 dysfunction and a small and positive relationship with subjective vitality. In addition, *REST* has
 469 a small and positive relationship with BMI and physical dysfunction and a small and negative
 470 relationship with subjective vitality. However, there was no significant difference between the
 471 versions. The results of regression analysis showed that *REST* alone for the PAST WEEK version
 472 (both 13- and 10-item scoring) did not predict BMI, but other subscales did predict this variable.

473 *MOVE* and *REST* for the RIGHT NOW version (both 13- and 10-item scoring) did not predict
474 physical dysfunction.

475 **Table 5 Correlations Between the CRAVE Scale and Measures of Convergent and Divergent Validity (Study 3,**
476 **n=244)**

Versions	Body Mass Index (BMI)		Physical Dysfunction		Subjective Vitality	
	r	β	r	β	r	β
13 Item Version						
NOW						
<i>MOVE</i>	-.15*	.43**	-.20**	.01	.29**	-.23*
<i>REST</i>	.34**	.53**	.21**	-.01	-.33**	-.41**
PAST						
<i>MOVE</i>	-.26**	-.32**	-.31**	-.23**	.40**	.44**
<i>REST</i>	.30**	-.04	.30**	.20*	-.22**	.16
Model Summary	F _(4,239) =14.12**, R=.44		F _(4,239) =8.51**, R=.35		F _(4,239) =16.08, R=.46	
10 Item Version						
NOW						
<i>MOVE</i>	-.17**	.34**	-.21**	-.03	.28**	-.13
<i>REST</i>	.38**	.50**	.19**	-.04	-.32**	-.34**
PAST						
<i>MOVE</i>	-.25**	-.25**	-.30**	-.22**	.38**	.38**
<i>REST</i>	.33**	.03	.29**	.21**	-.21**	.13
Model Summary	F _(4,239) =15.26**, R=.45		F _(4,239) =8.39**, R=.34		F _(4,239) =14.20**, R=.44	
Version Comparison						
NOW						
<i>MOVE</i>	-.23	1.16	-.11	-.22	.12	.79
<i>REST</i>	-.50	.45	.23	-.33	.12	.89
PAST						
<i>MOVE</i>	.12	.84	.12	.12	.26	.79
<i>REST</i>	-.37	.11	.12	.12	.12	.34
R ² comparison	.14		.12		.28	

477 Note. *r*= Pearson correlation coefficient; R= multiple correlation; a= comparisons conducted with the Fisher *r*-to-*z* transformation; All of *z*
478 coefficients are non-significant; ***p*<.01; **p*<.05

479 Other results showed that *REST* in the PAST WEEK versions (both 13- and 10-item scoring)
480 did not predict subjective vitality. *MOVE* for the RIGHT NOW version with 10-item scoring did
481 not predict subjective vitality. There was no significant difference between the subscales for
482 the 13- and 10-item scoring schemes. The reliability of the subscales for all versions was
483 acceptable, and *MOVE* and *REST* subscales had a significant correlation. Based on this, it can
484 be concluded that both the 13- and 10-item scoring schemes have acceptable convergent and
485 divergent validity, and the 10-item version with fewer items and equal items in each subscale
486 has the same validity and reliability as the 13-item version, favoring the original 10-item scoring
487 (Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2021). Lastly, age had no significant relationship with the motivation
488 states variables, and there was no difference between women and men for the CRAVE
489 subscales.

490 General Discussion

491 The objective of the current studies was to translate and test the psychometric properties of a
492 motivation states for physical activity and sedentary behavior scale in Iran using several samples
493 of Iranian college students. The CRAVE is currently one of the only scales validated to measure
494 motivation states for physical activity and sedentary behavior. This scale has not previously been

495 validated in Iran, but has been validated in the United States and Brazil (Filgueiras et al., 2023).
496 For the purpose of further cultural validation, we examined the psychometric properties of the
497 CRAVE in three studies and offered strong evidence in support of the two-factor structure of
498 the scale. In the first study, we examined the internal consistency and factor structure of two
499 models of the scale (10- versus 13-item scoring schemes). This model was found to have a high
500 internal consistency between the scale items and each item with the total score. The reliability
501 of the scale was also at a suitable level which is in line with previous studies (Stults-Kolehmainen
502 et al., 2021; Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2023; Filgueiras et al., 2023).

503 The results of EFA and CFA in our independent samples were in line with the WANT model
504 in previous studies and yielded a two-factor structure (desire to *MOVE* and *REST*). These two
505 factors are related but separate constructs. For instance, a person may have more or less a
506 desire to be active and sedentary simultaneously. For example, in a meditative state, a person
507 may not want to move or be sedentary at all. Also, a high desire to be active does not necessarily
508 mean a low desire to rest. The confirmatory factor analysis showed appropriate factor loadings
509 for the items on their respective factors. These results are consistent with Stults-Kolehmainen
510 et al.'s (2021) findings.

511 The results of the convergent criterion validity of the scale showed that movement desire
512 significantly and negatively correlated with physical dysfunction and BMI and that *REST*
513 significantly and positively correlated with physical dysfunction and BMI. The significant
514 associations between *MOVE* and *REST* sub-scales with BMI are not in line with some findings
515 reported in previous studies using other versions of the scale, such as American (Stults-
516 Kolehmainen et al., 2021) and Brazilian (Filgueiras et al., 2022). Contrary to the researchers'
517 expectations in these two studies, no consistent significant relationship between *MOVE* and
518 *REST* with BMI was observed. However, our results are consistent with theoretical foundations,
519 suggesting that people with a higher BMI are more likely to report external motivation or
520 experience a reduction in the volitional drive to engage in physical activities. On the contrary,
521 people with a lower BMI tend to have more intrinsic and autonomous forms of motivation
522 (Markland & Ingledew., 2007). For instance, a systematic review found an inverse relationship
523 between BMI and autonomous motivation and also stage of change for physical activity (Knittle
524 et al., 2018). Stage of change was also clearly related to motivation states by Stults-
525 Kolehmainen et al., (2021), where higher stages of exercise behavior were associated with
526 stronger motivation states to be active.

527 There was a positive relationship between vitality and *MOVE* and a negative relationship
528 between *REST* and vitality. These results are in line with previous studies (Ding & Jiang., 2020;
529 Reyahi et al., 2022; Wahyuti et al., 2022; Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2023) suggesting that the
530 *MOVE* and *REST* aspects of motivation states for physical activity and sedentary behaviors
531 measured by the Persian version of the CRAVE are related to mental and physical health. Stults-
532 Kolehmainen and colleagues (2021) found that motivation states were related to sensations of
533 energy and fatigue, as measured with various instruments. In addition, with the increase in
534 physical activity, the release of hormones such as endorphin and dopamine in the body
535 increases, and the release of the hormone cortisol decreases, which results in a lower level of
536 stress and improved mood (An et al., 2020).

537 Construct validity examination in relation to age showed that only the desire to move (RIGHT
538 NOW) had a positive and significant relationship with age. There was no significant relationship
539 between age and other forms of the desire to *MOVE* and *REST* (i.e., the desire to *REST* RIGHT
540 NOW and PAST WEEK, the desire to *MOVE* during the PAST WEEK). In one study from Stults-

541 Kolehmainen et al. (2021), only the desire to *REST NOW* had a negative and significant
 542 relationship with age. However, in another study reported in the same manuscript, *MOVE*
 543 decreased in a group of 127 people monitored over two years. It is also known that the drive
 544 to be physically active varies over the lifespan, with large changes beginning at puberty (Stults-
 545 Kolehmainen, 2023).

546 Younger students going through a turbulent transition and facing various academic-
 547 psychosocial challenges at the same time probably have more difficulties in regulating their
 548 emotions. As a result, they might have less desire to perform physical activities in their current
 549 situations. A lot of research also indicates that first-year students experience relatively high
 550 levels of uncertainty and anxiety due to unclear expectations and demands, (Gibney et al.,
 551 2011), and, accordingly, have many challenges in transitioning to university (Freeman et al.,
 552 2007). Such stress may inhibit, but also activate motivation to be active or sedentary (Stults-
 553 Kolehmainen & Sinha, 2014; Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2023).

554 The examination of gender differences showed no significant differences in *MOVE* and *REST*
 555 in both *RIGHT NOW* and *PAST WEEK* versions. This finding is similar to the original study (Stults-
 556 Kolehmainen et al., 2021). However, these findings are not in line with studies that reported
 557 gender differences in terms of motivation for physical activity (Espada et al., 2023; Portela-Pino
 558 et al., 2020). For example, the results of some studies demonstrate that men have more
 559 motivation for physical activity than women (Sáez et al., 2021; Sánchez-Herrera et al., 2022).
 560 This outcome can be attributed to the fact that both men and women experienced many
 561 restrictions, such as physical distancing and the lack of physical activity during the COVID-19
 562 pandemic. Therefore, it seems reasonable that both groups have almost the same preferences
 563 regarding the desire for physical activity. Future studies should examine other possibilities,
 564 including cultural influences and comparing different countries directly. In addition, the gender
 565 differences identified in the studies were mainly based on types of motivation (e.g., extrinsic
 566 and intrinsic) rather than motivational state (Egli et al., 2011; Lauderdale et al., 2015). As
 567 physical activity is a basic physical and psychological need (Stults-Kolehmainen, 2023), there
 568 may not be any differences by gender.

569 **Limitations and Future Directions**

570 This study has several strengths, including a large combined sample size and robust analyses.
 571 Nevertheless, there are some limitations. First, our measurements were done during the
 572 pandemic, when most people suffered from psychological distress and social stress (Filgueiras
 573 & Stults-Kolehmainen, 2021). As a result, interpretation of the results should be done with
 574 caution. Second, we used convenience sampling for our studies, which limits the
 575 generalizability of these findings. Third, most people in the sample group were female students,
 576 which can reduce the representativeness of the sample groups. Fourth, this research was
 577 conducted only in a university student population. Therefore, caution should be exercised in
 578 generalizing the results to other groups. Fifth, in the present study, we did not explore changes
 579 in the desire to move or be sedentary over time.

580 In future studies, researchers should explore the use of the CRAVE scale among adolescents.
 581 Considering the critical role of cultural factors in the motivation for physical activity, conducting
 582 further cross-cultural studies in this regard and identifying the factors determining the desire
 583 for physical activity can extend the literature (Filgueiras et al., 2023). Examining convergent
 584 validity in relation to other variables, such as emotional responses, is also suggested. Circadian
 585 changes in the desire for physical activity and rest during the day (Budnick et al., 2023) could be

586 studied simultaneously with changes in components based on internal and external feelings,
 587 such as hunger, stress, anxiety, fatigue, and deprivation as well as other pleasant and unpleasant
 588 emotions. There should also be investigation of the factors influencing the desire for physical
 589 activity (e.g., environmental factors, including the context of interpersonal relationships,
 590 intercultural factors such as attitudes, beliefs, and lifestyle), all of which could all be considered
 591 in future studies. Lastly, motivation states need to be explored in relation to physical health
 592 problems (e.g., thyroid issues, morbid obesity) and physiological correlates that are likely to be
 593 related, such as blood glucose (Budnick et al., 2023).

594 Despite these limitations, the study does provide some evidence to support the theoretical
 595 foundations of the WANT model and, more precisely, transient facets of motivation for physical
 596 activity and sedentary behaviors. Given that research on motivational states is a novel area
 597 worldwide, and studies in Iran were urgently needed, the current study could contribute
 598 significantly to this body of research. The Persian version of the CRAVE is a valid instrument with
 599 good psychometric properties that can be reliably used by researchers and practitioners in
 600 sports and exercise psychology throughout Iran.

601 References

- 602 An, H. Y., Chen, W., Wang, C. W., Yang, H. F., Huang, W. T., & Fan, S. Y. (2020). The relationships between physical
 603 activity and life satisfaction and happiness among young, middle-aged, and older adults. *International journal
 604 of environmental research and public health*, 17(13), 4817. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17134817>
- 605 Bonett, D. G., & Wright, T. A. (2000). Sample size requirements for estimating Pearson, Kendall, and Spearman
 606 correlations. *Psychometrika*, 65(1), 23-28. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02294183>
- 607 Budnick, C. J., Stults-Kolehmainen, M., Dadina, C., Bartholomew, J. B., Boullosa, D., Ash, G. I., ... & Lu, T. (2023).
 608 Motivation states to move, be physically active and sedentary vary like circadian rhythms and are associated
 609 with affect and arousal. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living*, 5, 1094288.
 610 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2023.1094288>
- 611 Clark, L. A., & Watson, D. (2019). Constructing validity: New developments in creating objective measuring
 612 instruments. *Psychological assessment*, 31(12), 1412. <https://doi.org/10.1037%2Fpas0000626>
- 613 Comrey, A. L., & Lee, H. B. (1992). *A First Course in Factor Analysis*, 2nd Edn. Hillsdale, NJ.
 614 <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315827506>
- 615 Corder, K., Winpenny, E., Love, R., Brown, H. E., White, M., & van Sluijs, E. (2019). Change in physical activity from
 616 adolescence to early adulthood: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal cohort studies. *British
 617 Journal of Sports Medicine*, 53(8), 496-503. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-097330>
- 618 Ding, C., & Jiang, Y. (2020). The relationship between body mass index and physical fitness among chinese
 619 university students: Results of a longitudinal study. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 8, No. 4, p. 570). MDPI.
 620 <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare8040570>
- 621 Do, B., Rhodes, R. E., Kanning, M., Hewus, M., & Dunton, G. F. (2022). Examining whether affectively-charged
 622 motivations predict subsequent affective response during physical activity: An ecological momentary
 623 assessment study. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living*, 4, 1029144.
 624 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2022.1029144>
- 625 Espada, M., Romero-Parra, N., Bores-García, D., & Delfa-De La Morena, J. M. (2023). Gender Differences in
 626 University Students' Levels of Physical Activity and Motivations to Engage in Physical Activity. *Education
 627 Sciences*, 13(4), 340. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13040340>
- 628 Esteghamati, A., Khalilzadeh, O., Rashidi, A., Kamgar, M., Meysamie, A., & Abbasi, M. (2011). Physical activity in
 629 Iran: results of the third national surveillance of risk factors of non-communicable diseases (SuRFNCD-
 630 2007). *Journal of Physical Activity and Health*, 8(1), 27-35. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.8.1.27>
- 631 Filgueiras, A., & Stults-Kolehmainen, M. (2022). Risk factors for potential mental illness among Brazilians in
 632 quarantine due to COVID-19. *Psychological Reports*, 125(2), 723-741.
 633 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0033294120976628>
- 634 Filgueiras, A., Stults-Kolehmainen, M. A., Boullosa, D., Sinha, R., Bartholomew, J. B., McKee, ...P., Gilson, T. A.,
 635 Keegan, R., Viana, A., Bueno, F. A., Medeiros, A. R., Militão-de-Leutério, S. F., & Ash, G. I. (2023). The CRAVE and

- 636 ARGE scales for motivation states for physical activity and sedentarism: Brazilian Portuguese translation and
 637 single-item versions. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14:1106571. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1106571>
- 638 Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement
 639 Error: Algebra and Statistics. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18 (3), 328–388.
 640 <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224378101800313>
- 641 Freeman, T. M., Anderman, L. H., & Jensen, J. M. (2007). Sense of belonging in college freshmen at the classroom
 642 and campus levels. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 75(3), 203-220.
 643 <https://doi.org/10.3200/JEXE.75.3.203-220>
- 644 Gao, J., Zheng, P., Jia, Y., Chen, H., Mao, Y., Chen, S., ... & Dai, J. (2020). Mental health problems and social media
 645 exposure during COVID-19 outbreak. *Plos one*, 15(4), e0231924.
 646 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231924>
- 647 GBD Data Visualizations | Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. (2018). [https://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-](https://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-compare)
 648 [compare](https://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-compare)
- 649 Gibney, A., Moore, N., Murphy, F., & O'Sullivan, S. (2011). The first semester of university life; 'will I be able to
 650 manage it at all?'. *Higher Education*, 62, 351-366. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-010-9392-9>
- 651 Goldberg, D. P., & Hillier, V. F. (1979). A scaled version of the General Health Questionnaire. *Psychological*
 652 *Medicine*, 9(1), 139–145. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291700021644>
- 653 Gurleyik, D., Sen, C. K. N., Etnier, J. L., & Acar, I. H. (2022). Culture in physical activity: The contribution of basic
 654 psychological needs and goal orientation. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public*
 655 *Health*, 19(24), 16691. <https://doi.org/10.3390%2Fijerph192416691>
- 656 Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation*
 657 *Modeling (PLS-SEM)*, 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5424-4268>
- 658 Hu, L., & Bentler, P.M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria
 659 versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 6(1), 1–55.
 660 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705519909540118>
- 661 Jenkins, M., Houge Mackenzie, S., Hodge, K., Hargreaves, E. A., Calverley, J. R., & Lee, C. (2021). Physical activity
 662 and psychological well-being during the COVID-19 lockdown: relationships with motivational quality and nature
 663 contexts. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living*, 3, 43. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2021.637576>
- 664 Kagitcibasi, C. (2005). Autonomy and relatedness in cultural context: Implications for self and family. *Journal of*
 665 *Cross-cultural Psychology*, 36(4), 403-422. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022105275959>
- 666 Kyu, H. H., Bachman, V. F., Alexander, L. T., Mumford, J. E., Afshin, A., Estep, K., ... & Forouzanfar, M. H. (2016).
 667 Physical activity and risk of breast cancer, colon cancer, diabetes, ischemic heart disease, and ischemic stroke
 668 events: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study
 669 2013. *BJM*, 354. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26943643>.
- 670 La Guardia, J. G., Ryan, R. M., Couchman, C. E., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Within-person variation in security of
 671 attachment: a self-determination theory perspective on attachment, need fulfillment, and well-being. *Journal*
 672 *of Personality and Social Psychology*, 79(3), 367-384. <https://doi:10.1037/0022-3514.79.3.367>
- 673 Langdon, J., Sturges, D., & Schlote, R. (2018). Flipping the Classroom: Effects on Course Experience, Academic
 674 Motivation, and Performance in an Undergraduate Exercise Science Research Methods Course. *Journal of the*
 675 *Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 18(4), 13-27. <https://doi.org/10.14434/josotl.v18i4.22729>
- 676 Lazuras, L., Barkoukis, V., Loukovitis, A., Brand, R., Hudson, A., Mallia, L., ... & Zelli, A. (2017). “I want it all, and I
 677 want it now”: lifetime prevalence and reasons for using and abstaining from controlled performance and
 678 appearance enhancing substances (PAES) among young exercisers and amateur athletes in five European
 679 countries. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 717. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00717>
- 680 Lev Arey, D., Blatt, A., & Gutman, T. (2022). A Self-Determination Theory and Acceptance and Commitment
 681 Therapy-based intervention aimed at increasing adherence to physical activity. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13,
 682 935702. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.935702>
- 683 Levitin, D. J., Grahn, J. A., & London, J. (2018). The psychology of music: Rhythm and movement. *Annual Review of*
 684 *Psychology*, 69, 51-75. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-122216-011740>
- 685 Lippi, G., & Sanchis-Gomar, F. (2020). An estimation of the worldwide epidemiologic burden of physical inactivity-
 686 related ischemic heart disease. *Cardiovascular Drugs and Therapy*, 34, 133-137.
 687 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10557-019-06926-5>
- 688 Lock, M., Post, D., Dollman, J., & Parfitt, G. (2018). Development of a self-determination theory-based physical
 689 activity intervention for aged care workers: Protocol for the activity for well-being program. *Frontiers in Public*
 690 *Health*, 6, 341. <https://doi.org/10.3389%2Fpubh.2018.00341>

- 691 MacCallum, R. C., Widaman, K. F., Zhang, S., & Hong, S. (1999). Sample size in factor analysis. *Psychological*
692 *Methods*, 4(1),84-99. <https://doi.10.1037/1082-989X.4.1.84>
- 693 Mahdilo, A., Zareian, E., & Jamshidi, A. (2021). The relationship between physical activity, academic engagement,
694 and psychological factors among university students during the quarantine. *Sport Psychology Studies*, 10(37),
695 288-259. <https://doi.org/10.22089/spsyj.2021.9971.2096>
- 696 Molanorouzi, K., Khoo, S., & Morris, T. (2015). Motives for adult participation in physical activity: type of activity,
697 age, and gender. *BMC public health*, 15(1), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-1429-7>
- 698 Nelson, M. C., Story, M., Larson, N. I., Neumark-Sztainer, D., & Lytle, L. A. (2008). Emerging adulthood and college-
699 aged youth: An overlooked age for weight-related behavior change. *Obesity*, 16 (10), 2205–2211.
700 <https://doi.10.1038/oby.2008.365>
- 701 Nieman, D. C., & Wentz, L. M. (2019). The compelling link between physical activity and the body's defense
702 system. *Journal of Sport and Health Science*, 8(3), 201-217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2018.09.009>
- 703 Portela-Pino, I., López-Castedo, A., Martínez-Patiño, M. J., Valverde-Esteve, T., & Domínguez-Alonso, J. (2020).
704 Gender differences in motivation and barriers for the practice of physical exercise in adolescence. *International*
705 *Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(1), 168. <https://doi.org/10.3390%2Fijerph17010168>
- 706 Reyahi, E., Zarei, A., Esmailil, M., & Nikaein, Z. (2022). Providing a Relational Model of the Physical Activity Passion
707 and Vitality with the Enjoyment of Sports leisure, the Mediates Social Cohesion in the Employees of the Tehran
708 Municipality Sports Organization. *Sport Sciences Quarterly*, 13(44), 149-170.
709 <https://dori.net/dor/20.1001.1.20087624.1400.13.44.7.7>
- 710 Ryan, R. M., & Connell, J. P. (1989). Perceived locus of causality and internalization: examining reasons for acting
711 in two domains. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 57(5), 749. [https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-](https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.57.5.749)
712 [3514.57.5.749](https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.57.5.749)
- 713 Ryan, R. M., & Frederick, C. (1997). On energy, personality, and health: Subjective vitality as a dynamic reflection
714 of wellbeing. *Journal of Personality*, 65(3), 529-565. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1997.tb00326.x>
- 715 Sáez, I., Solabarrieta, J., & Rubio, I. (2021). Motivation for physical activity in university students and its relation
716 with gender, amount of activities, and sport satisfaction. *Sustainability*, 13(6), 3183.
717 <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13063183>
- 718 San Román-Mata, S., Puertas-Molero, P., Ubago-Jiménez, J. L., & González-Valero, G. (2020). Benefits of physical
719 activity and its associations with resilience, emotional intelligence, and psychological distress in university
720 students from southern Spain. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 17(12), 4474.
721 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17124474>
- 722 Sánchez-Herrera, S., Cubero, J., Feu, S., & Durán-Vinagre, M. Á. (2022). Motivation regarding physical exercise
723 among health science university students. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public*
724 *Health*, 19(11), 6524. <https://doi.org/10.3390%2Fijerph19116524>
- 725 Shen, B., Lu, X., & Bo, J. (2022). Cross-Cultural Studies of Motivation in Physical Education: A Systematic
726 Review. *International Journal of Physical Activity and Health*, 1(1), 6. <https://doi.org/10.18122>
- 727 Stevens, C. J., Baldwin, A. S., Bryan, A. D., Conner, M., Rhodes, R. E., & Williams, D. M. (2020). Affective
728 determinants of physical activity: a conceptual framework and narrative review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 3366.
729 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.568331>
- 730 Stults-Kolehmainen, M. A. (2023). Humans have a basic physical and psychological need to move the body: physical
731 activity as a primary drive. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1134049. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1134049>
- 732 Stults-Kolehmainen, M. A., & Sinha, R. (2014). The effects of stress on physical activity and exercise. *Sports*
733 *Medicine*, 44, 81-121. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-013-0090-5>
- 734 Stults-Kolehmainen, M. A., Blacutt, M., Bartholomew, J. B., Boulosa, D., Janata, P., Koo, B. B., ... & Ash, G. I. (2022).
735 Urges to move and other motivation states for physical activity in clinical and healthy populations: a scoping
736 review protocol. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 901272. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.901272>
- 737 Stults-Kolehmainen, M. A., Blacutt, M., Bartholomew, J. B., Gilson, T. A., Ash, G. I., McKee, P. C., & Sinha, R. (2020).
738 Motivation states for physical activity and sedentary behavior: desire, urge, wanting, and craving. *Frontiers in*
739 *Psychology*, 11, 568390. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.568390>
- 740 Stults-Kolehmainen, M. A., Blacutt, M., Fogelman, N., Gilson, T. A., Stanforth, P. R., Divin, A. L., ... & Sinha, R. (2021).
741 Measurement of motivation states for physical activity and sedentary behavior: development and validation of
742 the CRAVE scale. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 568286. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.568286>
- 743 Stults-Kolehmainen, M. A., Gilson, T. A., SantaBarbara, N., McKee, P. C., Sinha, R., Bartholomew, J. B., ... & Ash, G.
744 I. (2023). Qualitative and quantitative evidence of motivation states for physical activity, exercise and being
745 sedentary from university student focus groups. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living*, 5, 1033619.
746 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2023.1033619>

- 747 Stults-Kolehmainen, M., Blacutt, M., Divin, A., Williamson, S., Gilson, T., Bartholomew, J. B., & Sinha, R. (2020). The
748 Desire to Move and Rest: Assessing Reliability and Validity of The CRAVE Scale: 466 Board #282 May 27 10:30
749 AM - 12:00 PM. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 52, 122-122.
750 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1249/01.mss.0000671400.53388.f8>
- 751 Taghavi, S. (2008). The Normalization of General Health Questionnaire for Shiraz University Students (GHQ-28).
752 *Clinical Psychology and Personality*, 6(1), 1-12. <https://dorl.net/dor/20.1001.1.23452188.1387.6.1.1.2>
- 753 Tanhaye Reshvanloo, F., Kareshki, H., & Torkamani, M. (2019). Psychometric Properties of State Level Subjective
754 Vitality Scale based on classical test theory and Item-response theory. *Rooyesh-e- Ravanshenasi Journal*, 8(10),
755 79-88. <http://dorl.net/dor/20.1001.1.2383353.1398.8.10.18.2>
- 756 Vergara-Moragues, E., & González-Saiz, F. (2020). Predictive outcome validity of General Health Questionnaire
757 (GHQ-28) in substance abuse patients treated in therapeutic communities. *Journal of Dual Diagnosis*, 16, 218–
758 227. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15504263.2019.1674465>
- 759 Wahyuti, S. A., Siswantoyo, S., Meikahani, R., Paryadi, W., Putro, A. S., Perdana, R. P., & Dewangga, M. W. (2022).
760 Relationship between Physical Activity and Body Mass Index in Women's Volleyball Athletes during COVID-19
761 Pandemic in Special Region of Yogyakarta, Indonesia. *Journal of Medicinal and Chemical Sciences*, 5(6), 1102-1108.
762 <https://doi.org/10.26655/JMCHEMSCI.2022.6.23>
- 763 Williams, D. M., & Bohlen, L. C. (2019). Motivation for exercise: Reflective desire versus hedonic dread. In M. H.
764 Anshel, S. J. Petruzzello, & E. E. Labbé (Eds.), *APA handbook of sport and exercise psychology, Vol. 2. Exercise*
765 *psychology* (pp. 363–385). American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0000124-019>
- 766 Wu, J., & He, L. (2022). The Relationship between Body Mass Index and Physical Activity Participation Rate Design
767 Based on Fuzzy Breakpoint Regression Design. *Journal of Sensors*, 2022.
768 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/3721659>.