Sex Differences in Track and Field Elite Youth

*Mira A. Atkinson[1], *Jessica J. James[1], Meagan E. Quinn[1], Jonathon W. Senefeld[2] and Sandra K. Hunter[1,3]

Author Affiliations
1 | Exercise Science Program, Department of Physical Therapy, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, United States
2 | Department of Health and Kinesiology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois, United States
3 | Athletic and Human Performance Research Center, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, United States

* denotes shared first authorship

Corresponding Author: Sandra K Hunter, Ph.D.
Marquette University
P.O. Box 1881
Milwaukee, 53201
WI, USA

E-mail: Sandra.Hunter@marquette.edu
Tel: 414 288 6673

Running Title: **Sex Differences in Track and Field Elite Youth**

Disclosure of Funding: None

Disclosure of Conflict of Interests: The authors have no conflict of interests.

Preprint – not peer reviewed

Please cite as: Atkinson-James et al. (2023) Sex Differences in Track and Field Elite Youth

ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To understand athletic performance before and after puberty, this study determined: 1) the age at which the sex difference increases among elite youth track and field athletes for running and jumping events; and 2) whether there is a sex difference in performance prior to ages associated with puberty among elite youth athletes. **METHODS:** Track and field records of elite USA male and female youth (7-18 years) across three years (2019, 2021, and 2022) were collected from an online database (athletic.net). The top 50 performances were recorded for 100m, 200m, 400m, and 800m track running, long jump, and high jump. **RESULTS**: Males ran faster than females at every age in the 100, 200, 400 and 800 m (P<0.001). When combining all running events, the sex difference (%) was 4.0±1.7% between 7-12 years and increased to 6.3±1.1% at 13 years, and 12.6±1.8% at 18 years (P<0.001). Similarly, males jumped higher and farther than females at every age (P<0.001). For long jump, the sex difference was 6.8±2.8% between 7-12 years, increasing to 8.5±1.7% at 13 years, and 22.7±1.4% at 18 years (P<0.001). For high jump, the sex difference was 5.3±5.2% between 7-12 years, increasing to 12.4±2.9% at 15 years, and 18.4±2.04% at 18 years (P<0.001). **CONCLUSION:** Prior to 12 years of age in elite youth track and field athletes, there was a consistent and significant sex difference of ~5%, such that males ran faster and jumped higher and farther than females. The magnitude of the sex difference in performance increased markedly at 12-13 years for running and long jump and 14 years for high jump and thus was more pronounced after ages associated with puberty.

KEY WORDS: adolescence, aerobic power, athletics, gender, maturation, puberty

INTRODUCTION

After puberty, adult males outperform females in sports that involve muscular power, strength, and endurance (1, 2). Sex differences in athletic performance are associated with differences between males and females in androgen concentrations -- primarily greater testosterone concentrations among males (1-3). From the perspective of athletic performance, prolonged exposure to higher testosterone concentrations confers many performance-enhancing effects, including larger and stronger skeletal muscle mass and bones, higher hemoglobin mass and concentrations, a larger heart and lungs and less body fat to carry during weight bearing exercise. These sex-based differences in testosterone concentrations and the physiological effects from testosterone are the primary basis for dichotomizing sport categories based on sex (1-5). However, the magnitude of the sex differences in athletic performance can range from ~10-30%, depending on the athletic event (2), with larger sex differences observed in events that rely heavily on muscular power including weightlifting and jumping events (2, 3, 5).

Before male puberty, which typically begins around 11-12 years of age (6), there are no sex differences in testosterone levels, with the exception of 'minipuberty', where males have transiently higher testosterone levels during infancy around age 3- to 6-months (5, 7, 8). After male puberty, circulating testosterone levels are about 15 times greater in males compared to females (3, 9). The emergence of increased testosterone in males during and after puberty has been shown to coincide with increases in muscle mass and strength (3), ultimately leading to increased exercise capacity and performance (1, 3). As an example, a recent study (10) provided evidence that endogenous testosterone concentrations explained about 98% of the variance of sex differences in swimming performance of elite youth after the age of 12 years. However, it is unknown whether these minimal sex differences before puberty are present across a large range of sports, including more power-based events (e.g., 100-meter dash and long jump) or more aerobic-based (e.g., 800-meter) events. Additionally, although it is well known that there are large sex differences in athletic performance among elite adult athletes (2), including track and field performance (11), the precise age at which this divergence in athletic performance between the sexes begins has not been thoroughly investigated.

Accordingly, the primary objectives of our study were to: 1) determine the sex difference in track and field performances among elite youth males and females, and 2) determine the age of divergence in track and field performances between elite youth males and females. This retrospective, observational study used ''real-world data" to test the hypotheses that: 1) there would be no sex differences in track and field performances of males and females during pre-pubescent years up to ~12 years of age, and 2) a sex difference in track and field performance would emerge at ~12-13 years of age. Moreover, as a secondary objective, this study compared the sex difference in performance between track running events and field jumping events. To accomplish these objectives, we analyzed performances of key track and field events of the annual top 50 male and female youth athletes aged 7 to 18 years. By focusing on elite performances, the potential impact of sociocultural factors, such as biological talent and sex differences in participation, were limited.

METHODS

All procedures involved accessing public information and did not require ethical review as determined by the Marquette University Institutional Review Board in accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations, 45 CFR 46.102, and the Declaration of Helsinki. Performances of key outdoor track and field events of the top 50 male and female youth athletes participating in USA Track & Field Club division were collected.

Data Sources

The USA Track & Field (USATF) represents one of the largest track and field organizations in the world and includes more than 3,000 clubs in the United States. Thus, USATF outdoor, club-level track and field performances served as the primary data source and were downloaded from a publicly available online database. Performances from four track events (100 m, 200 m, 400 m, and 800 m) and two field events (long jump and high jump) across three years of competition (2019, 2021, and 2022) for 12 one-year age groups (7 to 18 years) were collected and analyzed for the top 50 male youth athletes and top 50 female youth athletes. Notably, data associated with the 2020 competition year were not analyzed due to limited performance opportunity in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Additionally, data associated with several track and field events were excluded from analyses due to potential confounding— hurdle events (110 m, 300 m, and 3,000 m steeple chase) were excluded due to changes in hurdle height between age-groups and sexes; throwing events (shot put, discus, javelin, and hammer) were excluded due to changes in implement size and weight between age-groups and sexes; longer distance running events (1,500 m; 3,000 m; 5,000 m; and 10,000 m) were excluded due to limited or no participation among younger age-groups; and two jumping events (triple jump and pole vault) were excluded due to limited or no participation among younger age groups.

In this framework, up to 21,600 potential data points could be abstracted (6 track and field events × 3 competition years × 12 one-year age groups × 50 places × 2 sexes). Although complete datasets were almost ubiquitously available, data associated with high jump performances were incomplete for elite youth for both the 7-year age-group (males, $n = 7$; females, $n = 7$) and 8-year age-group (males, $n = 17$; females, $n = 17$). Thus, of the 21,600 potential data points, 21,048 performances were included in the analyses due to incomplete datasets.

Data Transformations

For track running events, average running speed ($m \cdot s^{-1}$) was calculated from the finishing time as: (race distance, m) × (finishing time, s)$^{-1}$. Sex differences in performance were calculated for analogous male and female youth athletes within each event, competition year, age group, and place as: [(male performance) – (female performance)] × (male performance)$^{-1}$ × 100%. Additionally, data were stratified into quintiles based on annual rank (1st to 50th). Herein, we describe faster runners (or better jumpers) as having higher rankings (e.g., 1st rank) and slower runners (or worse jumpers) to have lower rankings (e.g., 50th rank).

Statistical Analysis

Separate mixed-model univariate analyses of variance (ANOVAs) were used to compare the dependent variables (track and field performance time or distance and sex differences in track and field performance) between independent variables [sex (male and female); track and field event (100 m, 200 m, 400 m, 800 m, long jump, and high jump); age group (7 to 18 years); and record place (1st to 50th or quintiles)]. Multiple comparisons tests were performed and α values were adjusted using the Bonferroni method (12). Bonferroni pairwise multiple comparisons test the difference between pairs of means using t-tests and controls overall error by setting the error rate for each test to the experimentwise error rate divided by the total number of tests.

Assumptions of normality were confirmed with Shapiro-Wilk tests and assumptions of homoscedasticity were confirmed with Levene's test. Reported p-values are two-sided and adjusted for multiplicity; and the interpretation of findings was based on $\alpha = 0.05$. Analyses were performed using IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (version 29, Armonk, NY, USA). Figures were created using SigmaPlot (version 14.5, Systat Software Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Data are reported as mean ± standard deviation in the tables and text, unless otherwise noted. Data are reported as mean ± standard error in the figures to graphically show the bounds of the sample mean.

RESULTS

Athletic performances improved with increasing age for both male youth (main effect of age: $P < 0.001$) and female youth (main effect of age: $P < 0.001$) across all track and field events analyzed, including track running events (100 m, 200 m, 400 m, and 800 m) and field jumping events (high jump and long jump). Additionally, male youth had better performances than female youth (main effect of sex: $P < 0.001$) across all ages and track and field events analyzed. As elaborated on below, males demonstrated greater performance improvements than females across age (age × sex, $P < 0.001$). Accordingly, the sex difference in performance (%) increased across age (main effect of age: $P < 0.001$).

Age-related Performance Improvements

As described above, athletic performance for all analyzed track and field events improved across age groups for both male and female youth athletes. Bonferroni *post hoc* comparisons showed that performance improvements continued between each one-year age-group for male youth until 17 years of age for several track events (100m, 200m, and 400m; $P < 0.05$) and until 18 years of age for the longest track event and both jumping events (800m, high jump, and long jump; $P < 0.05$) (**Tables 1 and 2**). Among female youth, performance improvement increased until 16 years of age for shorter track events (100m and 200m; $P < 0.05$) and until 17 years of age for the other events (400m, 800m, high jump, and long jump; $P < 0.05$). **Figure 1** displays velocity for running events (A to D), long jump distance (E), and high jump height (F).

Sex Differences in Performance (%)

In addition to analyses of absolute performance presented above, we compared the relative sex difference in performance (%) between male and female youth athletes of similar age. Consistent with analyses of absolute performance, there were sex differences in performance across all track and field events and age groups ($P < 0.001$) (**Figure 2**) — except there was no significant difference between male and female youth for high jump at 7 years which is likely explained by small sample size ($n = 7$). Generally, the sex difference in performance was larger with increasing age ($P < 0.001$ for each event). Notably, the sex difference in performance across youth years approximates a sigmoid relation, such that the sex difference in performance exhibited a slow decline in younger years (about 7 to 12 years), and rapid increase around the ages associated with male puberty (about 13 to 15 years). Specifically, there was a statistically significant decline between the youngest ages (7 or 8 years of age) and the subsequent years until 12 years of age. For example, the first significant decline for the previous year occurred between 9-10 years for the 100 m and long jump, 7-8 years for the for the 200 m and 400 m, 8-9 years for 800 m and high jump (**Figure 2**). The decline stabilized so that the smallest sex difference in performance was observed ~~in~~ before age 12 i.e., 10-11 years for running events (~2.9%) and long jump (~5.7%), and 9-11 years for the high jump (~3.6%) (**See Supplementary Table 1 and Figure 2**).

A rapid increase of the sex difference in performance was observed for all track and field events around the ages associated with male puberty. See **Figures 2 and 3**. Specifically, a rapid increase of the sex difference in performance was observed at age 13 years in the 100 m (5.1% absolute increase) and long jump (8.5% absolute increase), age 12 in the 200-800 m (range: 3.3% - 5.8% absolute increase), and age 14 in the high jump (10.3% absolute increase), as determined by statistically significant increase in the sex difference in performance compared with the previous year of age ($P < 0.05$).This increase continued for all events until 18 years, except for the 400 m and 800 m, where there was no statistical increase between age 16 and age 17 years. By age 18 years, the magnitude of the sex difference in performance was larger than age 17 for each event. By 18 years of age the sex difference was largest for each event including the 100 m (10.5%), 200 m (11.8%), 400 m (13.5%), 800 m (14.6%), long jump (22.7%), and high jump (18.4%; **Figure 2**).

Performance Ranking

We determined whether the sex differences in performance increased with the place of the runner between 1st and 50th place (stratified by quintile) for the 7- to 11-year-olds before the large sex differences emerged, and from 12 to 18 years after the sex differences are prominent. Among the 7- to 11-year-olds, the sex difference in running times did not differ across rank (1st-50th) when all running events (100, 200 and 400 and 800 m) were combined (rank effect, $P = 0.19$). However, an interaction of place and event ($P < 0.001$) occurred because the sex difference in the 100 and 200m decreased among slower (lower ranked (e.g., 50th rank)) runners while the 400m increased and the 800m showed no change (see **Figure 4**). Similar to running events, the sex difference in jumping performance did not differ with the rank of the athlete for high jump (rank effect, P = 0.64) or long jump (rank effect, $P = 0.41$).

Among 12- to 18-year-olds, the sex difference in track and field performance generally increased among athletes with lower rankings (e.g., 50th rank; $P = 0.018$), however, this relation was not observed among all track and field events. The sex difference in track running performance increased among athletes with lower rankings (i.e., slower runners) for the 200, 400, and 800 m events ($P < 0.05$ for all). However, the sex difference in track running performance did not differ with the rank of the athlete for the shortest running event (100 m, $P = 0.971$) or the jumping events (high jump, $P = 0.977$; long jump, $P = 0.387$).

DISCUSSION

This retrospective, observational study used ‘real-world data’ — elite youth track and field performances — as a proxy to estimate the ergogenic advantage of androgens (the increase in testosterone) among male compared with female youth during puberty. The major findings from this study are threefold. First, males ran faster, jumped farther, and jumped higher at every age between 8 years to 18 years compared to females. Second, before the ages of puberty, there was a consistent sex difference in performance of about 5% across key track and field events. This sex difference in performance (%) among youth was significant, although smaller than the sex difference in performance that emerged with puberty and among adult males and females. Third, the sex difference in track and field performances demonstrably increased around the ages of puberty (12 to 14 years) and was, on average, about 15% at 18 years of age, with a larger sex difference for the jumping (~18-23%) than running events (~11-15%). Specifically, the sex difference in athletic performance increased significantly at age 12 years for the 200m, 400m and 800m, age 13 for the 100m and long jump, and age 14 for the high jump. These sex differences continued to increase every year until age 18 in all events, apart from the 400m and 800m, where minimal increase was observed at age 17 from the previous year. The sex difference in these events further increased between age 17 and age 18 years. Collectively, these data suggest that among track and field events, male youth have a performance advantage compared to female youth before and after puberty, and this sex divergence in athletic performance markedly increases at the onset of male puberty and continues until at least ~18 years of age. These data may provide additional evidence to inform fair and inclusive policies for youth athletes.

Sex differences in athletic performance *before* male puberty

Although there is broad-based availability of data on athletic performance of youths, there is limited scientific evidence evaluating potential sex differences in athletic performance among pre-pubertal youth. Among the key track and field events we evaluated, performance of male youth was 5% better than performance of female youth — male youth ran faster and jumped higher or farther than female youth. Our findings are generally consistent with previous observations of sex differences in athletic performance among pre-pubertal youth, including data from all-time best Norwegian track and field athletes aged 11 to 12 years (about 4%) (11), functional performances of Polish athletes aged 11 to 13 years (about 7%) (13), and world record performances in track and field aged 10 to 12 years (about 5%) (1, 14). Our study extends these previous findings which have focused on athletic performance beginning at about age 10 years by examining track and field performance at a younger age (7 years and older) than previous studies. Notably, however, sex differences in athletic performance among pre-pubertal youth are smaller than after puberty (<5%) in track and field events (1) or negligible in swimming (1, 10) and weightlifting (15, 16).

The largest sex difference before ages associated with puberty occurred between ~7-8 years of age, after which a small decrease in the sex difference occurred across all events until ~10-11 years of age: 10-11 years were the ages at which the smallest sex differences were observed. The reasoning for this decline is not well understood, but could be associated with earlier onset and progression of sexual maturation among females (17) which this influences many physiological and anatomical factors that impact athletic performance including height (18, 19), fat free mass (19), and endogenous testosterone concentrations (9). Notably, while the sex difference (%) declined from 7-8 years to 10-11 years, the difference between males and females remained consistently significant. For example, the sex difference in long jump between 7-12 years ranged between ~6-10%.

Potential contributing factors. Although limitations associated with the design of our study limited mechanistic insights that may be inferred from our findings, there are several potential contributing factors to the observed sex differences in performance before the age of puberty. First, within the first few months of life, human infants experience a transient increase in sex hormones called 'minipuberty', and evidence supports that the transient surge of testosterone in male infants is linked to increased growth velocity (20) and reduced adipose accumulation (21) among male infants compared to female infants. Additionally, there is evidence of a relationship between endogenous testosterone concentration and increased muscle mass (6) and strength (22). In this context, minipuberty may confer physiological changes that predispose male youth to better athletic performance compared to female youth. This is an area for future research.

Second, there is some evidence that male youth spend more time engaging in physical activity (23, 24) and, particularly, higher intensity physical activity (25) compared to female youth. These potential sex differences in engagement of physical activity – which are possibly due to differences in positive reinforcement of physical activity between males and females (26, 27) – could contribute to sex differences in athletic performance. Notably, physical fitness is found to be significantly associated with time engaged in physical activity in youth (28). By focusing on elite performances, the design of this study likely mitigated the impact of potential sex differences in physical activity, as it may be presumed that elite athletes are homogenous for intensive training and high levels of physical activity.

The potentially confounding effects of depth of field were also considered. Among adult athletes, there is evidence that lower participation among females contributes to an exaggerated sex difference in athletic performance even amongst the most elite athletes (29, 30). However, our analyses examining the sex difference in track and field performance across rank quintile suggest that before the age of 12, place of the athlete does not influence the sex difference in performance, suggesting that depth of field was not a major contributor to the observed sex differences. Thus, better understanding the impact of participation on sex differences in human performance among youths represents an opportunity for future investigations.

Sex differences in athletic performance *during* adolescence

Sex divergence in athletic performance dramatically increases (or emerges in the cases of swimming (1, 10) and weightlifting (15, 16)) near the onset of male puberty due to the performance-enhancing effects associated with male testosterone concentrations (1, 10). In the current study, the sex difference in track and field performance demonstrably increased at 12 years of age for track running events and 13 years of age for field jumping events. Our findings support previous research which found that while there were small sex differences in performance between ages 11-13 years, the sex difference were significantly greater at 14-15 years of age (13).

Generally, athletic performance improves during youth and then gradually declines during adulthood after about 30 years of age (31). Our data confirmed this improvement. However, there is more limited research examining at what age the plateau in performance occurs for elite athletes, and whether this age differs between males and females or between sports. In this study of key track and field events, males had improved performances until 17 years of age for some events and through 18 years of age for other events. In this context, males likely have their age of peak performance after 18 years for many track and field events. Among females, there was an observed plateau in track and field performances between ages 16 and 17 years. Thus, females may have a plateau in track and field performances at a younger age than males. Similar findings have also been observed in swimming (10), that is elite female swimmers have a plateau in performance at a younger age than elite male swimmers. Interestingly, the ages at which the plateau in swimming performance initiated was 15 years for females and 17 years for males, suggesting the age at which the plateau in performance initiates may be different between sports (i.e., younger age for swimming events compared to track and field events). We speculate that the earlier onset of the performance plateau among females compared to males may be associated with earlier onset and progression of sexual maturation among females (17), as well as earlier plateaus of several physiological and anatomical factors that contribute to performance (9, 18, 19). Of note, the sex differences in track and field performance at 18 years of age (10.5 to 22.7% depending on event) are similar magnitude to the sex difference observed in world's best performances among adult athletes (2, 32).

Limitations

Our study faced several limitations associated with the design of the study. First, this retrospective, observational study focused on performance of key track and field events from elite youth males and females, which limits the mechanistic insights that may be inferred from our results. We did, however, analyze the sex difference in ranking to determine whether social factors often associated with lower participation and a reduced talent pool of females, and which widens the sex difference in performance, contributed prior to puberty. This was not the case. Notably, however, we did not assess participation in track and field, and as discussed above, participation may be a confounding factor. Second, our analyses were limited to publicly available information and did not include information on key performance-influencing factors such as maturation status, testosterone concentrations, history of training and physical activity, and anthropometrics. Third, our analyses were restricted to only a few track and field events, which may limit the generalizability of the current findings. Despite these limitations, our data provide novel evidence of sex differences in athletic performance among elite athletes throughout youth beginning at seven years.

CONCLUSION

Before puberty (ages 7-12 years) in elite youth track and field athletes there was a consistent sex difference in athletic performance of about 5%, such that males run faster and jump higher and further than females. The sex difference (%) in performance grew significantly larger by 12-14 years of age, and this age of sex divergence in performance occurred at an earlier age for track running events than field jumping events, although jumping events (e.g., long jump) were considerably elevated between 5-10% prior to puberty. The sex difference continued to increase from 12 years to 18 years of age. Contrary to our hypothesis, our data demonstrate robust sex differences in performance among track and field events such that male youth outperform female youth before and after the ages associated with puberty. These data provide scientific evidence to inform fair and inclusive policies for competitive youth athletes in track and field.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest. The results of the study are presented clearly, honestly, and without fabrication, falsification, or inappropriate data manipulation. The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement by the American College of Sports Medicine.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets analyzed during the current study are available in the publicly available AthleticNet database (https://www.athletic.net/). All aggregate data generated and analyzed during the study are included in the published.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MAA, JJJ, JWS & SKH conceived and designed the research; MAA, JJJ, MEQ, JWS collected and analyzed the data; MAA, JJJ, JWS & SKH interpreted results of experiments; MAA and JWS prepared figures; MAA, JJJ, JWS & SKH drafted manuscript; MAA, JJJ, MEQ, JWS & SKH edited and revised manuscript; MAA, JJJ, MEQ, JWS & SKH approved final version of manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Handelsman DJ. Sex differences in athletic performance emerge coinciding with the onset of male puberty. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). 2017;87(1):68-72. Epub 20170508. doi: 10.1111/cen.13350. PubMed PMID: 28397355.
2. Hunter SK, S SA, Bhargava A, Harper J, Hirschberg AL, B DL, et al. The Biological Basis of Sex Differences in Athletic Performance: Consensus Statement for the American College of Sports Medicine. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2023;55(12):2328-60. Epub 20230928. doi: 10.1249/mss.0000000000003300. PubMed PMID: 37772882.
3. Handelsman DJ, Hirschberg AL, Bermon S. Circulating Testosterone as the Hormonal Basis of Sex Differences in Athletic Performance. Endocr Rev. 2018;39(5):803-29. doi: 10.1210/er.2018-00020. PubMed PMID: 30010735; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6391653.
4. Nokoff NJ, Senefeld J, Krausz C, Hunter S, Joyner M. Sex Differences in Athletic Performance: Perspectives on Transgender Athletes. Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews. 2023;51(3).
5. Hilton EN, Lundberg TR. Transgender Women in the Female Category of Sport: Perspectives on Testosterone Suppression and Performance Advantage. Sports Med. 2021;51(2):199-214. doi: 10.1007/s40279-020-01389-3. PubMed PMID: 33289906; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7846503.
6. Malina RM, Bouchard C, Beunen G. Human Growth: Selected Aspects of Current Research on Well-Nourished Children. Annual Review of Anthropology. 1988;17(1):187-219. doi: 10.1146/annurev.an.17.100188.001155.
7. Lanciotti L, Cofini M, Leonardi A, Penta L, Esposito S. Up-To-Date Review About Minipuberty and Overview on Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Gonadal Axis Activation in Fetal and Neonatal Life. Frontiers in Endocrinology. 2018;9. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2018.00410.
8. Becker M, Hesse V. Minipuberty: Why Does it Happen? Hormone Research in Paediatrics. 2020;93(2):76-84. doi: 10.1159/000508329.
9. Senefeld JW, Lambelet Coleman D, Johnson PW, Carter RE, Clayburn AJ, Joyner MJ. Divergence in Timing and Magnitude of Testosterone Levels Between Male and Female Youths. Jama. 2020;324(1):99-101. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.5655. PubMed PMID: 32633795; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7341166.
10. Senefeld JW, Clayburn AJ, Baker SE, Carter RE, Johnson PW, Joyner MJ. Sex differences in youth elite swimming. PLoS One. 2019;14(11):e0225724. Epub 20191122. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0225724. PubMed PMID: 31756208; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6874329.
11. Tønnessen E, Svendsen IS, Olsen IC, Guttormsen A, Haugen T. Performance development in adolescent track and field athletes according to age, sex and sport discipline. PLoS One. 2015;10(6):e0129014. Epub 20150604. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0129014. PubMed PMID: 26043192; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4456243.

12. Lee S, Lee DK. What is the proper way to apply the multiple comparison test? Korean J Anesthesiol. 2018;71(5):353-60. Epub 20180828. doi: 10.4097/kja.d.18.00242. PubMed PMID: 30157585; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6193594.

13. Malina R, Sławinska T, Ignasiak Z, Rożek-Piechura K, Kochan K, Domaradzki J, et al. Sex Differences in Growth and Performance of Track and Field Athletes 11-15 Years. Journal of Human Kinetics - J HUM KINET. 2010;24:79-85. doi: 10.2478/v10078-010-0023-4.

14. McManus AM, Armstrong N. Physiology of elite young female athletes. Med Sport Sci. 2011;56:23-46. Epub 20101221. doi: 10.1159/000320626. PubMed PMID: 21178365.

15. Mizuguchi S, Cunanan AJ, Suarez DG, Cedar WE, South MA, Gahreman D, et al. Performance Comparisons of Youth Weightlifters as a Function of Age Group and Sex. J Funct Morphol Kinesiol. 2021;6(3). Epub 20210623. doi: 10.3390/jfmk6030057. PubMed PMID: 34201880; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8293357.

16. Huebner M, Perperoglou A. Sex differences and impact of body mass on performance from childhood to senior athletes in Olympic weightlifting. PLOS ONE. 2020;15(9):e0238369. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0238369.

17. Susman EJ, Houts RM, Steinberg L, Belsky J, Cauffman E, Dehart G, et al. Longitudinal development of secondary sexual characteristics in girls and boys between ages 91/2 and 151/2 years. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2010;164(2):166-73. doi: 10.1001/archpediatrics.2009.261. PubMed PMID: 20124146; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2863107.

18. Sherar LB, Baxter-Jones AD, Mirwald RL. Limitations to the use of secondary sex characteristics for gender comparisons. Ann Hum Biol. 2004;31(5):586-93. doi: 10.1080/03014460400001222. PubMed PMID: 15739387.

19. Tanner JM, Whitehouse RH, Marubini E, Resele LF. The adolescent growth spurt of boys and girls of the Harpenden growth study. Ann Hum Biol. 1976;3(2):109-26. doi: 10.1080/03014467600001231. PubMed PMID: 1275435.

20. Kiviranta P, Kuiri-Hänninen T, Saari A, Lamidi ML, Dunkel L, Sankilampi U. Transient Postnatal Gonadal Activation and Growth Velocity in Infancy. Pediatrics. 2016;138(1). Epub 20160609. doi: 10.1542/peds.2015-3561. PubMed PMID: 27283013.

21. Davis SM, Kaar JL, Ringham BM, Hockett CW, Glueck DH, Dabelea D. Sex differences in infant body composition emerge in the first 5 months of life. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2019;32(11):1235-9. doi: 10.1515/jpem-2019-0243. PubMed PMID: 31483758; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6851433.

22. Sartorio A, Lafortuna CL, Pogliaghi S, Trecate L. The impact of gender, body dimension and body composition on hand-grip strength in healthy children. J Endocrinol Invest. 2002;25(5):431-5. doi: 10.1007/bf03344033. PubMed PMID: 12035939.

23. Saint-Maurice PF, Bai Y, Vazou S, Welk G. Youth Physical Activity Patterns During School and Out-of-School Time. Children (Basel). 2018;5(9). Epub 20180830. doi: 10.3390/children5090118. PubMed PMID: 30200255; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6162631.

24. Belcher BR, Berrigan D, Dodd KW, Emken BA, Chou C-P, Spruijt-Metz D. Physical Activity in US Youth: Effect of Race/Ethnicity, Age, Gender, and Weight Status. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise. 2010;42(12):2211-21. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181e1fba9. PubMed PMID: 00005768-201012000-00009.

25. Lopes VP, Vasques C, Pereira BO, Maia JAR, Malina RM. Physical Activity Patterns During School Recess: A Study in Children 6 to 10 Years Old. The international electronic journal of health education. 2006;9:192-201.

26. Van Der Horst K, Paw MJ, Twisk JW, Van Mechelen W. A brief review on correlates of physical activity and sedentariness in youth. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2007;39(8):1241-50. doi: 10.1249/mss.0b013e318059bf35. PubMed PMID: 17762356.

27. Telford RM, Telford RD, Olive LS, Cochrane T, Davey R. Why Are Girls Less Physically Active than Boys? Findings from the LOOK Longitudinal Study. PLoS One. 2016;11(3):e0150041. Epub 20160309. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0150041. PubMed PMID: 26960199; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4784873.

28. Chen W, Hammond-Bennett A, Hypnar A, Mason S. Health-related physical fitness and physical activity in elementary school students. BMC Public Health. 2018;18(1):195. Epub 20180130. doi: 10.1186/s12889-018-5107-4. PubMed PMID: 29378563; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5789625.

29. Hunter SK, Stevens AA. Sex differences in marathon running with advanced age: physiology or participation? Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2013;45(1):148-56. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31826900f6. PubMed PMID: 22843112.

30. Senefeld J, Smith C, Hunter SK. Sex Differences in Participation, Performance, and Age of Ultramarathon Runners. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. 2016;11(7):635-42. Epub 20151109. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2015-0418. PubMed PMID: 26561864.

31. Senefeld JW, Hunter SK. Are masters athletic performances predictive of human aging in men and women? Mov Sport Sci/Sci Mot. 2019(104):5-12.

32. Millard-Stafford M, Swanson AE, Wittbrodt MT. Nature Versus Nurture: Have Performance Gaps Between Men and Women Reached an Asymptote? Int J Sports Physiol Perform. 2018;13(4):530-5. Epub 20180514. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2017-0866. PubMed PMID: 29466055.

FIGURES

Figure 1.



Figure 1. Age-related improvements in track and field performances of elite youth males and females (velocity). Scatter and line plots display the improvement in performance across youth years among male youth (blue circles) and female youth (red triangles) for key track running events

and field jumping events, including 100 m (A), 200 m (B), 400 m (C), 800 m (D), long jump (E), and high jump (F). Data represent an amalgam of the top 50 athletes across three years of competition (2019, 2021, and 2022), such that individual data points generally represent 150 athletes — except high jump for ages 7 years (*n* = 7 athletes) and 8 years (*n* = 17 athletes). Symbols represent group means and the error bars represent standard error. Dagger symbols (†) indicate better performance (faster velocity or longer or higher jump) among males compared to analogous females in the same age group. Notably, owing to large, homogeneous samples, error bars are indiscernible in many cases.

Figure 2.



Figure 2. Age-related increase in sex differences in track and field performances of elite youth males and females. Scatter and line plots display the increase in sex difference in track and field performances across youth years among both the annual top 10 youth athletes (light blue squares) and top 50 youth athletes (orange diamonds) for key track running events and field

jumping events, including 100 m (A), 200 m (B), 400 m (C), 800 m (D), long jump (E), and high jump (F). Data represent an average across three years of competition (2019, 2021, 2022). Incomplete datasets are represented by double dagger symbols (‡) for high jump ages 7 years (n = 7 athletes) and 8 years (n = 17 athletes). Light blue and orange symbols represent group means and the error bars represent standard error. Notably, owing to large, homogeneous samples, error bars are indiscernible in many cases. Other symbols: *, increased sex difference in performance compared to previous year of age ($P < 0.05$); †, decreased sex difference in performance compared to previous year of age ($P < 0.05$).

Figure 3.



Figure 3. Age-related increase in sex differences in track and field performances of elite youth males and females, stratified by event. Scatter and line plots display the increase in sex

difference in track and field performance across youth years stratified by track and field event, including, 100 m (red square), 200 m (orange circle), 400 m (light orange triangle), 800 m (light blue diamond), long jump (blue upside-down triangle), and high jump (blue hexagon). Data represent an average across three years of competition (2019, 2021, 2022). Symbols represent group means and the error bars represent standard error. Notably, owing to large, homogeneous samples, error bars are indiscernible in many cases.

Figure 4.



Figure 4. Rank-related sex differences in track and field performances of elite youth males and females. The scatter and line plots display the sex difference in track and field performances across rank quintile among 7- to 11-year-old (A) and 12- to 18-year old (B) elite athletes for track and field events, including, 100 m (red square), 200 m (orange circle), 400 m (light orange triangle), 800 m (light blue diamond), long jump (blue upside-down triangle), and high jump (blue hexagon). Data represent an amalgam of the top 50 athletes across three years of competition (2019, 2021, and 2022) and 12 one-year age groups (7 to 18 years), such that individual data points

generally represent 150 athletes. Symbols represent group means and the error bars represent standard error. Notably, owing to large, homogeneous samples, error bars are indiscernible in many cases. (A). An interaction of place and event ($P < 0.001$) occurred in the 100 and 200m, displaying the sex difference decreased among slower (lower ranked (e.g., 50th rank)) runners and the 400m, displaying the sex difference increased among slower (lower ranked (e.g., 50th rank)) runners. (B). The sex difference in track running performance significantly increased ($P < 0.05$ for all) among athletes with lower rankings (i.e. slower runners) for the 200, 400, and 800 m events.

TABLES

Table 1. Age-group performance times for track events of top 50 youth for both males and females.

	Male youths				**Female youths**			
	Performance time, mean, s, by distance				Performance time, mean, s, by distance			
Age, y	100 m	200 m	400 m	800 m	100 m	200 m	400 m	800 m
7	15.6 ± 0.6†	32.9 ± 1.5†	77.6 ± 3.4†	185.8 ± 9.1†	16.2 ± 0.6	34.6 ± 1.3	82.0 ± 3.4	197.6 ± 10.1
8	14.5 ± 0.5*†	30.2 ± 1.1*†	70.7 ± 2.6*†	168.4 ± 7.6*†	15.1 ± 0.4*	31.6 ± 0.9*	74.1 ± 3.2*	178.5 ± 7.6*
9	13.9 ± 0.4*†	28.9 ± 0.9*†	67.3 ± 2.1*†	160.6 ± 5.7*†	14.5 ± 0.4*	30.0 ± 0.9*	70.2 ± 2.7*	168.2 ± 6.9*
10	13.4 ± 0.3*†	27.7 ± 0.7*†	63.9 ± 1.4*†	154.1 ± 5.0*†	13.8 ± 0.3*	28.5 ± 0.7*	65.8 ± 2.0*	160.7 ± 5.2*
11	13.0 ± 0.3*†	26.7 ± 0.7*†	61.4 ± 1.6*†	147.9 ± 4.5*†	13.3 ± 0.3*	27.4 ± 0.6*	62.9 ± 1.7*	154.0 ± 4.6*
12	12.4 ± 0.2*†	25.4 ± 0.5*†	57.7 ± 1.7*†	140.0 ± 4.6*†	12.8 ± 0.3*	26.3 ± 0.6*	60.6 ± 1.6*	148.7 ± 4.4*
13	11.9 ± 0.3*†	24.1 ± 0.5*†	54.7 ± 1.4*†	133.8 ± 3.5*†	12.5 ± 0.2*	25.6 ± 0.5*	59.1 ± 1.2*	144.0 ± 4.0*
14	11.4 ± 0.2*†	23.2 ± 0.4*†	52.5 ± 1.1*†	127.3 ± 3.1*†	12.4 ± 0.2*	25.4 ± 0.5*	58.3 ± 1.3*	142.4 ± 3.9
15	11.1 ± 0.2*†	22.6 ± 0.3*†	50.9 ± 1.1*†	122.9 ± 2.7*†	12.2 ± 0.2*	25.0 ± 0.5*	57.4 ± 1.5*	138.4 ± 3.7*
16	10.9 ± 0.2*†	22.0 ± 0.3*†	49.5 ± 0.8*†	117.7 ± 2.1*†	12.0 ± 0.2*	24.5 ± 0.5*	56.4 ± 1.3*	135.3 ± 3.7*
17	10.7 ± 0.2*†	21.6 ± 0.3*†	48.5 ± 0.8*†	115.3 ± 1.6*†	11.9 ± 0.2	24.3 ± 0.4	55.6 ± 1.2*	132.8 ± 3.2*
18	10.5 ± 0.1†	21.3 ± 0.3†	47.9 ± 0.8†	113.4 ± 1.3*†	11.8 ± 0.2	24.2 ± 0.4	55.4 ± 1.2	132.8 ± 2.7

Footnotes: Top 50 performance times of track running events (100 m, 200 m, 400 m, and 800 m) stratified by age group (7 to 18 years) for youth males and females averaged between three performance years (2019, 2021, and 2022) are reported as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Symbols: *, faster performance time compared to previous year of age ($P < 0.05$); †, faster performance time among males compared to females ($P < 0.05$). Abbreviations: m, meters; s, seconds; y, years.

Table 2. Age-group performance distances of top 50 youth for both males and females.

	Male youths		**Female youths**	
	Performance distance, mean, m, by event		Performance distance, mean, m, by event	
Age, y	Long Jump	High Jump	Long Jump	High Jump
7	3.09 ± 0.21†	0.85 ± 0.04‡	2.90 ± 0.27	0.92 ± 0.27‡
8	3.62 ± 0.21*†	0.90 ± 0.09†‡	3.37 ± 0.33*	0.83± 0.06‡
9	4.00 ± 0.24*†	1.10 ± 0.08*†	3.71 ± 0.23*	1.07 ± 0.09*
10	4.31 ± 0.21*†	1.24 ± 0.07*†	4.08 ± 0.20*	1.19 ± 0.07*
11	4.66 ± 0.26*†	1.33 ± 0.09*†	4.39 ± 0.21*	1.28 ± 0.07*
12	5.00 ± 0.26*†	1.45 ± 0.07*†	4.69 ± 0.23*	1.39 ± 0.07*
13	5.41 ± 0.26*†	1.52 ± 0.07*†	4.99 ± 0.22*	1.46 ± 0.07*
14	5.94 ± 0.26*†	1.68 ± 0.08*†	5.17 ± 0.20*	1.52 ± 0.07*
15	6.29 ± 0.25*†	1.76 ± 0.08*†	5.36 ± 0.21*	1.56 ± 0.07*
16	6.60 ± 0.25*†	1.84 ± 0.08*†	5.60 ± 0.20*	1.62 ± 0.06*
17	6.90 ± 0.23*†	1.92 ± 0.07*†	5.76 ± 0.19*	1.66 ± 0.06*
18	7.09 ± 0.23*†	1.98 ± 0.07*†	5.78 ± 0.19	1.67 ± 0.06

Footnotes. Top 50 performance distances of field jumping events (long jump and high jump) stratified by age group (7 to 18 years) for youth males and females averaged between three performance years (2019, 2021, and 2022) are reported as mean ± standard deviation (SD). *, greater performance distance (longer or higher) compared to previous year of age ($P < 0.05$); †, greater performance distance (longer or higher) among males compared to females ($P < 0.05$); ‡, data associated with high jump performances were incomplete for elite youth for both the 7-year age-group (males, n = 7; females, n = 7) and 8-year age-group (males, n = 17; females, n = 17). Abbreviations: m, meters; y, years.

Supplemental Digital Content

Atkinson M*, James JJ*, Quinn M, Senefeld JW, and Hunter SK. Sex Differences in Track and Field Elite Youth. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*.

Contents

Supplemental Table 1. Sex difference in track running and field jumping performances of top 50 youths.

	Sex difference in performance, mean, %, by event					
Age, y	100 m	200 m	400 m	800 m	Long Jump	High Jump
7	3.9 ± 1.2	5.0 ± 2.3	5.4 ± 2.1	5.9 ± 1.6	6.6 ± 4.8	8.4 ± 14.3‡
8	4.1 ± 1.6	4.5 ± 1.7†	4.5 ± 1.3†	5.7 ± 1.2	7.8 ± 3.8*	8.1 ± 6.7‡
9	4.2 ± 1.1	3.7 ± 1.2†	4.1 ± 1.1†	4.5 ± 1.2†	8.0 ± 2.1	3.5 ± 2.7†
10	3.1 ± 1.0†	2.7 ± 0.7†	2.9 ± 1.2†	4.2 ± 0.7	5.7 ± 1.6†	3.9 ± 2.3
11	2.6 ± 1.0†	2.4 ± 0.9	2.5 ± 0.7†	3.9± 1.1	6.0 ± 2.6	3.6 ± 3.0
12	2.9 ± 1.1	3.3 ± 0.9*	4.8 ± 1.4*	5.8 ± 0.7*	6.7 ± 1.8	4.0 ± 2.0
13	5.1 ± 0.7*	5.9 ± 0.6*	7.3 ± 0.8*	7.1 ± 0.6*	8.5 ± 1.7*	4.4 ± 1.9
14	7.5 ± 0.4*	8.7 ± 0.8*	9.9 ± 0.6*	10.6 ± 1.2*	14.8 ± 1.3*	10.3 ± 2.4*
15	8.5 ± 0.7*	9.5 ± 0.6*	11.3 ± 0.7*	11.2 ± 1.0*	17.3 ± 1.9*	12.4 ± 2.9*
16	9.0 ± 0.5*	10.4 ± 1.0*	12.4 ± 1.1*	13.0 ± 1.3*	17.9 ± 1.3	13.8 ± 2.5*
17	10.1 ± 0.5*	11.1 ± 0.4*	12.7 ± 0.7	13.2 ± 1.3	19.9 ± 1.1*	15.7 ± 1.2*
18	10.5 ± 0.7*	11.8 ± 0.9*	13.5 ± 0.9*	14.6 ± 0.9*	22.7 ± 1.4*	18.4 ± 2.0*

Footnotes: Sex difference between youth males and females in the top 50 performances of track running (100 m, 200 m, 400 m, and 800 m) and field jumping events (long jump and high jump) stratified by age group (7 to 18 years) averaged between three performance years (2019, 2021, and 2022) are reported as mean ± standard deviation (SD). In this context, a total of 150 data points were available for analyses for each event and age-group (50 performances × 3 performance years), unless otherwise noted. Sex differences in performance were calculated for each place, event, and year as: [(male's performance) – (female's performance)] × (male's performance)$^{-1}$ × 100%. Symbols: *, increased sex difference in performance compared to previous year of age ($P < 0.05$); †, decreased sex difference in performance compared to previous year of age ($P < 0.05$); ‡, data associated with high jump performances were incomplete for elite youth for both the 7-year age-group (males, n = 7; females, n = 7) and 8-year age-group (males, n = 17; females, n = 17). Abbreviations: m, meters; y, years.